

# Particle acceleration by three-dimensional asymmetric current sheet

Zahra Akbari, Mahboub Hosseinpour\*, Mohammad Ali Mohammadi

*Faculty of Physics, University of Tabriz, Iran.*

\*Corresponding author: [hosseinpour@tabrizu.ac.ir](mailto:hosseinpour@tabrizu.ac.ir)

Received 12 December 2022; Accepted 14 April 2023; Published online 18 April 2023

## Abstract:

Magnetic reconnection is known as an essential process to convert stored energy of magnetic field into the kinetic, thermal energy of plasma and the acceleration of charged particles in astrophysical and space plasmas. In this paper, we investigate some features of test particle acceleration during spine reconnection with an asymmetric current sheet. To do so, we study first the acceleration features of a test-particle and then discuss acceleration of a randomly injected population of 10,000 protons in the vicinity of a null point with input parameters for the solar corona. It is shown that protons are accelerated up to tens of keV and even higher kinetic energies, either along the spine axis or on the fan surface along specified lines. Also, we discuss the variation of energy spectra for different strengths of the electric and magnetic field amplitudes.


**Keywords:** Magnetic reconnection; Asymmetric current sheet; Particle acceleration

## 1. Introduction

A ubiquitous and frequent dynamic process which occurs in an almost ideal plasma due to non-ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) effects such as the plasma resistivity is magnetic reconnection. This phenomenon is responsible for a broad range of dynamic events such as conversion of magnetic energy to the plasma kinetic, thermal and non-thermal energies by the change of magnetic field topology in astrophysical and space plasmas such as the solar corona [1–4]. Also, it is considered to be an essential mechanism for particle acceleration, in particular, in solar flares [5–11]. The most important consequences of two-dimensional (2D) magnetic reconnection studies involve a magnetic null point named X-point, where the magnitude of magnetic field is supposed to be zero. However, the later studies revealed a complex picture of three-dimensional (3D) solar magnetic fields and the occurrence of magnetic reconnection in a magnetic topology with and without null points [12–15]. A null point reconnection with its various models (torsional spine and torsional fan reconnections); non-null point reconnection and separator reconnection are the most important regimes of 3D magnetic reconnection [14–22]. Torsional spine (fan) reconnection occurs due to a rotational disturbance around the fan plane (spine) forming a strong current aligned to the spine axis (fan plane). Non-null point re-

connection takes place in the absence of a null point and requires a localized non-ideal region together with a non-zero electric field component parallel to the magnetic field. Separator reconnection occurs in current layers around separators [17, 23, 24].

It is worth to note that the most of magnetic reconnection studies of both two and three dimensions focus on symmetric configurations. Asymmetric reconnections, however, are likely to be more real and natural. Frequently, spatially asymmetric magnetic fields around reconnection sites in the atmosphere of the Sun have been observed [25–28]. Recently, asymmetric magnetic reconnection is considered in some literatures. In 2D configurations, the asymmetric upstream/downstream densities, magnetic field strengths, the exhaust velocities, the thickness of current sheet and the effects of asymmetry on the rate of reconnection have been considered. Due to the variations of magnetic field geometry, the null point reconnection in 3D can become asymmetric either in the fields around a null point or in the corresponding electric currents. Also, such an asymmetric current sheet can be formed even with an initially symmetric null and a homogeneous plasma [29, 30]. It is shown that the current sheet near the null point must be asymmetric to accommodate the observed asymmetric flows in the vicinity of the null point [29]. The symmetry and asymmetry of the magnetic field in the vicinity of a magnetic null point have



**Figure 1.** Plot of magnetic field lines for spine reconnection with  $b_0 = L_0 = j = 1$  and  $m = 0.5$ .



been investigated and their profound effect on the geometry and the rate of any associated reconnection region have been reported [31]. Already, Galsgaard and Pontin [32] have investigated the importance of magnetic field topology in determining the accumulation point of the electric current in a single 3D null point.

It is believed that magnetic reconnection can efficiently accelerate particles up to highly relativistic energies in astrophysical plasmas, in particular, in the solar corona environment. There are three important mechanisms for particle acceleration which categorized as the acceleration by shocks, stochastic acceleration by waves and by direct electric fields due to magnetic reconnection [33–35]. There is a wealthy supply of data from RHESSI observations on the particle acceleration events in the solar corona which show that a fraction of 10%–50% of transferred energy has been detected in electrons of some flares with energies about 20 – 100 keV [36]. Also, Lin et al. (2003) [37] has observed the accelerated electrons and protons up to energies of a few MeV and even higher during the impulsive phase of an intense solar flare.

Furthermore, asymmetric reconnection has been observationally reported in many contexts, for example, in situ measurements of magnetic reconnection in the Earth’s mag-

**Table 1.** Injection positions of a proton from the second and forth quadrants.

Case	$x$	$y$	$r$	$z$
1	-0.1	-0.02	0.1	0.99
2	0.5	0.53	0.72	-0.56
3	0.22	0.80	0.83	-0.46
4	-0.28	-0.15	0.31	0.29
5	-0.46	-0.85	0.96	-0.52
6	-0.56	-0.52	0.76	-0.67
7	0.97	0.57	1.12	0.38




**Figure 2.** The vector plot of electric current density with  $b_0 = L_0 = \mu_0 = j = 1$  and (a)  $m = 0$  (b)  $m = 0.5$ .

netotail structure [38]. Also, magnetospheric multiscale observations of an electron-scale current sheet and the electron outflow jet for asymmetric reconnection at the subsolar magnetopause shows the jet is unstable to an electrostatic instability which generates intense waves with parallel electric field amplitudes reaching up to 300 MV/m and electric potentials up to 20% of the electron thermal energy [39].

Recently, particle acceleration in the vicinity of a null


**Table 2.** Injection positions of a proton from the first and third quadrants.

Case	$x$	$y$	$r$	$z$
8	0.33	-0.86	0.92	-0.66
9	-0.51	0.71	0.87	-0.98
10	-0.52	0.74	0.90	0.74
11	0.58	-0.90	1	0.18
12	0.62	-0.97	1.15	-0.35
13	0.77	-0.83	1.13	0.83
14	-0.92	0.90	1.28	-0.89




**Figure 3.** The trajectory of a proton with initial positions listed in Table 1 with  $E_0 = 1$  kV/m and  $B_0 = 0.01$  T.

point for spine and fan reconnection regimes were studied by Dalla and Browning (2005, 2006, 2008) [40–42]. They showed that the spine reconnection is more efficient than the fan reconnection in accelerating charged particles to kinetic energies of the order of MeV. Also, proton and electron acceleration investigations in a nonneutral reconnecting current sheet showed that electrons and protons were accelerated to the energies of 10 – 100 keV and 100 – 400 keV, respectively [43, 44]. Moreover, two important types of 3D symmetric null point reconnection regimes named torsional spine and torsional fan reconnections by a test particle approach were investigated [45–47]. It has been shown that proton acceleration up to MeV and even higher




**Figure 4.** The trajectory of a proton with initial positions listed in Table 2 with  $E_0 = 1$  kV/m and  $B_0 = 0.01$  T.



**Figure 5.** Vector plots of the electric field (Eq. (8)) at  $z = 0$  plane, (a)  $m = 0$  (b)  $m = 0.5$ .

kinetic energies for typical parameters of the solar corona takes place in less than a few milliseconds [45–47]. On the other hand, it has been shown that the trajectory of an accelerated proton either along the spine axis or on the fan plane significantly depends on its initial location. In fact, protons are accelerated along the magnetic field lines away from the non-null point only at azimuthal angles where the magnitude of the electric field is strongest, and therefore particles obtain kinetic energies of the order of thousands of MeV and even higher. However, comparison shows that a non-null magnetic reconnection is more efficient in accelerating protons to GeV energies than a null-point reconnection [48]. In our most recent study [49], the trajectory and energy distribution of accelerated protons were investigated in the presence of magnetic and electric fields of a particular 3D reconnection model, named flux tube disconnection with an O-type topology [21]. We concluded that the acceleration of an injected proton to highly relativistic kinetic energies can be observed close to the origin of the diffusion region and the particle’s trajectory is along the magnetic field lines. Also, we showed that the electric drift velocity reaches its maximum magnitude at a particular radial point and the efficient acceleration is experienced at that point. Since the naturally occurring magnetic reconnection is ba-



**Figure 6.** Time variation of kinetic energy of a proton with initial points listed in Table 3  $E_0 = 1$  kV/m and  $B_0 = 0.01$  T.

sically asymmetric, now it will be interesting to study the acceleration of particles in various regimes of reconnection with some asymmetries such as magnetic field asymmetry, current sheet asymmetry. Here, we are interested to investigate the particle acceleration scenario using the test-particle approach in an asymmetric current sheet around 3D null point. Thus, by considering a kinematic model of asymmetric spine reconnection given by Wyper and Jain (2013) [47], the trajectory and energy distribution are investigated first for a proton test-particle and then for a population of 10,000 protons which are randomly distributed within a cubic spatial space around the null point with typical parameters for the solar corona. In the following sections, we consider the configuration and properties of an asymmetric reconnection model and give a description of the trajectory code in sec. 2, while we discuss the results in sec. 3. A brief conclusion is presented in Sec. 4.

## 2. The model of spine reconnection with asymmetric current sheet and the “trajectory code”

The aim of this study is to investigate particle acceleration in a particular model of asymmetric magnetic reconnection based on the analytical solution of Wyper and Jain (2013) [29] which is a linear potential with radially symmetric magnetic null field as the background field and with a localized perturbation field. Here the symmetric magnetic field solution of the following steady-state resistive MHD equations

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = \eta \mathbf{J}, \tag{1}$$


$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}, \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \tag{4}$$

in the cylindrical coordinates is in the form of

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \frac{b_0}{L_0} (r, 0, -2z),$$



**Figure 7.** Final distribution of particles.

with  $\mathbf{B}_1$  added as a perturbed field localized in  $r$  and is of the form

$$\mathbf{B}_1 = F(r, \varphi) \hat{z} = \frac{jb_0}{L_0} f(\varphi) r e^{-\frac{r^2}{h(\varphi)^2}} \hat{z}$$

so that the total magnetic field is given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{B}_1. \tag{5}$$

Here  $j$  is a dimensionless constant to control the magnitude of  $\mathbf{B}_1$  and  $b_0$  and  $L_0$  are the field strength on the boundary and the length scale, respectively. Moreover,  $f$ , and  $h$  functions dependent only on  $\varphi$  (azimuthal angle) which are given below. With the choice of localized non-uniform resistivity of the form

$$\eta = \eta_0 e^{-\frac{(z^2)^2}{k^6}},$$

in which  $k$  determines the level of localization. We obtain the electric potential of the form

$$\Phi = -\frac{j\eta b_0}{\mu_0 L_0} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi} h(\varphi)}{2} \hat{f}(\varphi)(r) \right], \tag{6}$$

we assume

$$f(\varphi) = \sin(\varphi),$$

$$h(\varphi) = L(1 + m \sin(\varphi)), \tag{7}$$

where  $m$  is the parameter which determines various degrees of asymmetry to the magnetic field and is limited to  $0 < m < 1$ . In Figure 1, we illustrate some magnetic field lines in three dimensions with  $b_0 = L_0 = j = 1$  and  $m = 0.5$ . Also, the asymmetric degree of current sheet and the electric field is determined by  $m$ . So, the symmetric case is recovered by  $m = 0$ . The vector plot of the electric current density according to Eq. (3) is shown in the  $x$ - $y$  plane (fan plane) in Figure 2 (with  $m = 0$  (a) and  $m = 0.5$  (b)). It is seen from Figure 2 (a) that the current rings in both sides of  $y = 0$  axis on the fan plane are symmetric while asymmetric current rings can be seen in Figure 2 (b) where  $m = 0.5$ . Using Eqs. (6), (7) and the expression  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\Phi$ , the electric field components can be obtained as






Figure 8. Final position of particles in the (x-z) plane.

$$E_r = e_0[\cos(\varphi) + 2m\cos(\varphi)\sin(\varphi)L^2(1+m\sin(\varphi))^3r^2] \times \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{L^2(1+m\sin(\varphi))^2}\right),$$

$$E_\varphi = \frac{e_0}{r} \left\{ \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi}L}{2} \left( \frac{r}{L(1+m\sin(\varphi))} \right) \times (m\cos^2(\varphi) - (1+m\sin(\varphi))\sin(\varphi)) \right) + \left( -\frac{mr\cos^2(\varphi)}{1+m\sin(\varphi)} + \frac{mr(\sin^2(\varphi) - \cos^2(\varphi))}{L(1+m\sin(\varphi))} + \frac{m^2r\cos^2(\varphi)\sin(\varphi)}{L(1+m\sin(\varphi))^2} \right) \times \left( 1 - \frac{2r^2}{L^2(1+m\sin(\varphi))^2} \right) + \frac{mr\cos(\varphi)}{1+m\sin(\varphi)} \right\} \times \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{L^2(1+m\sin(\varphi))^2}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}Lm\cos(\varphi)}{2} \times \left( \frac{r}{L(1+m\sin(\varphi))} \right),$$

$$E_z = 0. \tag{8}$$

with  $e_0 = j\eta b_0/\mu_0 L_0$ . Throughout the paper, we put  $L_0 = 1$ ,  $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$  H/m,  $\eta = 1$ ,  $j = 1$ ,  $L = 1$ ,  $\hat{B}_0 = 0.01$  T,  $E_0 = 1$  kV/m and  $\hat{L} = 10$  km ( $\hat{B}_0$ ,  $E_0$  and  $\hat{L}$  are typical magnetic and electric field strengths, and the length scale in the solar corona, respectively).

Now, having the electric and magnetic fields  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  given by Eqs. (8) and (5), the particle's trajectory can be obtained by solving the following equations of motion

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m_0\gamma} \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = q(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m_0\gamma} \times \mathbf{B}). \tag{10}$$

Here  $x$  and  $p$  are the particle's position and momentum vectors,  $q$  and  $m_0$  are its charge and rest mass,  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}$  is the Lorentz factor, and  $c$  is the speed of light. Eqs. (9) and (10) are numerically solved by the trajectory code with length scales, the magnetic field





Figure 9. Final pitch angle of particles with  $m = 0.5$ .

and time normalized to the characteristic length  $\hat{L} = 10$  km corresponding to a typical scale in the solar corona, a typical magnetic field of an active region,  $B_0 = 0.01$  T, and the non-relativistic gyroperiod of a proton, namely,  $T = 2\pi m_0/(qB_0)$  i.e.,  $T \approx 6.5 \mu\text{s}$ , respectively.

### 3. Results and discussion

To investigate the features of particle acceleration in asymmetric spine reconnection, we study the trajectory of a test particle injected initially in some different positions. Numerical solution of Eqs. (9) and (10) give the position and momentum (velocity) of the particle at any running time. In Figure 3, trajectories of a proton with seven different injection positions from the second and fourth quadrants listed in Table 1 are shown in the  $x$ - $z$  plane. Also, the pitch angle and azimuthal angle of the injected particle for all cases are  $90^\circ$  and  $45^\circ$ , respectively. Note that the initial kinetic energy of the injected particle for all cases is 100 eV and the total running time of the code is set to be  $T_{fin} = 2000$ , corresponding to  $\sim 13$  ms. Trajectories of a proton for other seven injection positions from the first and third quadrants listed in Table 2 are plotted in the  $x$ - $y$  plane in Figure 4. The background pale lines indicate projections of magnetic field lines in both figures. It is seen that the trajectory of a proton strongly depends to its initial position. A proton with an initial position from the second and fourth quadrants of top and below of  $z = 0$  (fan plane) moves along the spine receding from the null or close to the first and third bisectors. However, a proton with an initial position from the first and third quadrants moves along the first and third bisectors. In fact, the trajectory of particles is mainly determined by the electric field pattern. Figure 5 (a) shows the electric field on fan plane ( $z = 0$ ) is directed out from the null and the spine and parallel to  $z = 0$  axis. Also, it is symmetrically independent of height and decreases with increasing of distance from the null on the fan plane as well as on the other  $z = \text{constant}$ . with direction toward to the fan plane. While, Figure 5 (b) shows that the direction of the electric field remains outward of the spine and loses its direction toward the fan plane. It is now directed parallel to the fan



**Figure 10.** Final pitch angle of particles, (a)  $m = 0$  (b)  $m = 1$ .

plane in the first and third quadrants while it directs to the first and third bisectors in the second and fourth quadrants. Besides, we see that the electric field decreases as the height increases.

Moreover, the final kinetic energy of an accelerated particle dependent to its initial position. The kinetic energy of the relativistic particle is calculated from the momentum through equation  $E_k = \sqrt{c^2 p^2 + m_0^2 c^4} - m_0 c^2$ , which reduces to  $E_k = 1/2 m_0 v^2$  in the nonrelativistic case. We see from Figure 6 that by increasing the injection radial distance from the null (or the spine axis) at a constant height,  $z = 0.3$ , the kinetic energy decreases. Here, initial pitch angle, azimuthal angle, initial energy and the total running time of the code are as the same as before. Time variation of kinetic energy of the particle for four different initial positions are listed in Table 3.

Now, we randomly inject a population of 10,000 protons inside a cubic region of  $1 < x < 1$ ,  $1 < y < 1$ ,  $1 < z < 1$  with dimensionless units around the null point at  $t = 0$ . The initial velocity of the injected particles is isotropically randomized according to a Maxwellian distribution with a mean temperature of  $T = 86$  eV ( $\sim 10^6$  K) corresponding to the mean thermal speed of  $v_{ave} \sim 1.3 \times 10^5$  m/s. Keeping all other parameters, the same as before with  $T_{fin} = 4000$

**Table 3.** Injection positions of a proton from the first and third quadrants.

Case	$x$	$y$	$r$	$z$
1	0.01	0.03	0.2	0.3
2	0.25	0.75	0.5	0.3
3	0.35	1.05	0.7	0.3
4	0.45	1.35	0.9	0.3

and  $m = 0.5$ , Figures 7 and 8 show the final position of the population of the particles in three and two dimensions, respectively. Figure 9 indicates that almost 70% of particles accelerated parallel to the magnetic field lines with pitch angle less than  $6^\circ$  and 23% are accelerated anti-parallel to the magnetic field lines with pitch angle more than  $175^\circ$ . Furthermore, our study on the effect of varying  $m$  as a parameter of asymmetry shows that with increasing  $m$  from 0 to 1, the percentage of particles tend to move anti-parallel to the magnetic field lines increases. As seen from Figure 10 (a), we see that more than  $\sim 99\%$  of the particles are accelerated parallel to magnetic field lines when  $m = 0$ , whilst, less than  $\sim 60\%$  of the particles keep moving parallel to magnetic field lines when  $m = 1$  (Figure 10 (b)).

The energy distribution of the accelerated particles (the number of particles in each energy range divided by the total number of particles,  $f_n$ ) is plotted in Figure 11 with three values of  $m$ . Figure 11 shows that with  $m = 0$ , there is only one peak, while, increasing the magnitude of  $m$  results in appearance of another peak in lower energies. Almost all of the particle population ( $\sim 96\%$ ) gains kinetic energies between 10 keV and 1 MeV with a peak about  $\sim 65$  keV for  $m = 0$ .

For  $m = 1$  the first peak occurs at  $\sim 40$  eV and the second peak at  $\sim 250$  keV. Additionally, it is seen that increasing the level of asymmetry shifts the position of the second peak towards much higher kinetic energies. Finally, we study the effect of the electric and magnetic field amplitudes,  $E_0$  and  $\hat{B}_0$  on the variation of energy distribution. These variations are illustrated in Figures 12 and 13 for four different values of  $E_0 = 0.1, 1, 10, 20$  kV/m, with  $\hat{B}_0 = 0.01$  T and for three different values of  $\hat{B}_0 = 0.001, 0.01, 0.1$  T; T with  $E_0 = 1$  kV/m, respectively. The strength of the electric field magnitude has been measured for solar flares in many studies. For example, observation of an electric field as high as 17 kV/m in postflare coronal loops is reported by Foukal et al. (1983) [50]. Even more intensive electric fields (up to 70 kV/m) has been measured by Davis (1977) [51]. Besides, the findings of Foukal et al. (1987) [52] from two eruptive events show an electric field less than 1 kV/m in such structures and about 4 kV/m in a postflare loop. Also, the intensity of flare electric field estimation by Pudovkin et al. (1998) [53] is approximately 0.1 – 0.3 kV/m. According to Figure 12, as  $E_0$  increases about two orders of magnitude, the peak of energy distribution shifts about two orders of magnitude to higher energies from  $\sim 160$  keV to  $\sim 16$  MeV. There is also a reduction in the fraction of the particle pop-

ulation with lower kinetic energies. Furthermore, Figure 13, shows that the increase of magnetic field magnitude has no significant influence on the energy distribution and its corresponding peak.

#### 4. Conclusion

Accelerated charged particles are thought to be a significant signature of magnetic reconnection in the astrophysical and space plasmas, in particular, in solar flares. Therefore, magnetic reconnection is considered as an important mechanism of particle acceleration in solar flares. The aim of the current study was to show some acceleration features of a single charged particle (proton) and also a population of many test particles in the presence of static electric and magnetic fields associated with asymmetric spine reconnection, a more realistic regime of 3D magnetic reconnection at a null point. The initial velocities of particles were randomly distributed according to a Maxwellian distribution. Numerical solution of the equations of motion showed that almost all of the injected particles around the null point are accelerated along the magnetic field lines, either along the spine or close to the bisector lines of quadrants. The trajectory depends on the parameter  $m$  which applies a certain amount of perturbation to the magnetic field and in the generated current sheet. According to our study, for  $m = 0$ , almost  $\sim 99\%$  of the particles are accelerated parallel to magnetic field lines and for  $m = 1$ , about  $\sim 24\%$  of the particles move anti-parallel to magnetic field lines. Note that our study considers three values of  $m$  but, the results are reported only for  $m = 0.5$ . According to the final position distribution especially in two dimensions (Figure 8), it is seen that most of particles accelerated along the fan plane and gain higher energies than particles which are accelerated along the spine. Unlike the results of our previous work on particle acceleration in torsional spine reconnection where jets of accelerated particles were found [54], the final distribution in asymmetric spine reconnection does not show such jets of particles. Investigation about the final kinetic energy of a single particle with various injection positions for proton indicates that the final energy decreases with increasing injection radial distance from the null and spine axis for a constant height,  $z$ , as expected from the variation of the strength of the electric field. However, almost all of the particles ( $\sim 96\%$ ) with  $E_0 = 1$  kV/m and  $B_0 = 0.01$  T, obtain high energies, 10 keV - 400 keV. We concluded that increasing the amplitude of electric field by two orders of magnitude results in the shift of energy peak location by two orders. However, there is no considerable variation for energy distribution by variation of the magnetic field magnitude. Here we investigated 3D null point magnetic reconnection called spine reconnection with an asymmetric current sheet, where the electric and magnetic fields were considered to be static. More realistic models need to take into account the transient time-dependent fields.

#### Conflict of interest statement:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] J. Birn and E. R. Priest. *Reconnection of Magnetic Fields: Magnetohydrodynamic and Collisionless Theory and Observations*. Cambridge University Press, 1th edition, 2007.
- [2] E. R. Priest and T. G. Forbes. *Magnetic Reconnection: MHD Theory and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 1th edition, 2000.
- [3] M. Yamada, R. Kulsrud, and H. Ji. "Magnetic Reconnection". *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **82**:603, 2010.
- [4] E. G. Zweibel and M. Yamada. "Magnetic Reconnection in Astrophysical and Laboratory Plasmas". *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **47**:291, 2009.
- [5] A. O. Benz, P. C. Grigis, and M. Battaglia. "Particle acceleration in solar flares: observations versus numerical simulations". *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, **48**:B115, 2006.
- [6] R. G. Giovanelli. "A Theory of Chromospheric Flares". *Nature*, **158**:81, 1946.
- [7] M. L. Goldstein, W. H. Matthaeus, and J. J. Ambrosiano. "Acceleration of charged particles in magnetic reconnection: Solar flares, the magnetosphere, and solar wind". *Geophysical Research Letters*, **13**:205, 1986.
- [8] P. Helander, L. G. Eriksson, R. J. Akers, C. Byrom, C. G. Gimblett, and M. R. Tournianski. "Ion Acceleration during Reconnection in MAST". *Physical Review Letters*, **89**:235002, 2002.
- [9] K. Knizhnik, M. Swisdak, and J. F. Drake. "The acceleration of ions in solar flares during magnetic reconnection". *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, **743**:L35, 2011.
- [10] R. P. Lin. "Energy Release and Particle Acceleration in Flares: Summary and Future Prospects". *Space Science Reviews*, **159**:421, 2011.
- [11] V. V. Zharkova, K. Arzner, A. O. Benz, P. Browning, C. Dauphin, A. G. Emslie, L. Fletcher, E. P. Kontar, G. Mann, M. Onofri, V. Petrosian, R. Turkmani, N. Vilmer, and L. Vlahos. "Recent Advances in Understanding Particle Acceleration Processes in Solar Flares". *Space Science Reviews*, **159**:357, 2011.
- [12] D. I. Pontin. "Three-dimensional magnetic reconnection regimes: A review". *Advances in Space Research*, **47**:1508, 2011.
- [13] D. I. Pontin, A. K. Al-Hachami, and K. Galsgaard. "Generalised models for torsional spine and fan magnetic reconnection". *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **533**:A78, 2011.

- [14] E. R. Priest and D. I. Pontin. “Three-dimensional null point reconnection regimes”. *Physics of Plasmas*, **16**:122101, 2009.
- [15] E. R. Priest and V. S. Titov. “Magnetic reconnection at three-dimensional null points”. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, **354**:2951, 1996.
- [16] K. Galsgaard, E. R. Priest, and V. S. Titov. “Numerical experiments on wave propagation towards a 3D null point due to rotational motions”. *PJournal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, **108**:1042, 2003.
- [17] Y. T. Lau and J. M. Finn. “Three-dimensional kinematic reconnection in the presence of field nulls and closed field lines”. *Astrophysical Journal*, **350**:672, 1990.
- [18] D. W. Longcope and S. C. Cowley. “Current sheet formation along three dimensional magnetic separators”. *Physics of Plasmas*, **3**:2885, 1996.
- [19] D. I. Pontin and K. Galsgaard. “Current amplification and magnetic reconnection at a three-dimensional null point: Physical characteristics”. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, **112**:A03103, 2007.
- [20] E. R. Priest and T. G. Forbes. “Magnetic flipping: Reconnection in three dimensions without null points”. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, **97**:1521, 1992.
- [21] A. L. Wilmot-Smith and E. R. Priest. “Flux tube disconnection: An example of three-dimensional reconnection”. *Physics of Plasmas*, **14**:102903, 2007.
- [22] P. F. Wyper and R. Jain. “Torsional magnetic reconnection at three dimensional null points: A phenomenological study”. *Physics of Plasmas*, **17**:092902, 2010.
- [23] C. E. Parnell, R. C. Maclean, and A. L. Haynes. “The detection of numerous magnetic separators in a three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic model of solar emerging flux”. *The Astrophysical Journal*, **725**:L214, 2010.
- [24] J. E. H. Stevenson, C. E. Parnell, E. R. Priest, and A. L. Haynes. “The nature of separator current layers in MHS equilibria I. Current parallel to the separator”. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **573**:A44, 2015.
- [25] N. A. Murphy and C. R. Sovinec. “Global axisymmetric simulations of two-fluid reconnection in an experimentally relevant geometry”. *Physics of Plasmas*, **15**:042313, 2008.
- [26] N. A. Murphy, M. P. Miralles, C. L. Pope, J. C. Raymond, H. D. Winter, K. K. Reeves, D. B. Seaton, A. A. van Ballegooijen, and J. Lin. “Asymmetric magnetic reconnection in solar flare and coronal mass ejection current sheets”. *The Astrophysical Journal*, **751**:56, 2012.
- [27] G. Paschmann, M. Øieroset, and T. Phan. “The detection of numerous magnetic separators in a three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic model of solar emerging flux”. *Space Science Reviews*, **178**:385, 2013.
- [28] M. Yamada, H. Ji, S. Hsu, T. Carter, R. Kulsrud, N. Bretz, F. Jobes, Y. Ono, and F. Perkins. “Study of driven magnetic reconnection in a laboratory plasma”. *Physics of Plasmas*, **4**:1936, 1997.
- [29] P. F. Wyper and R. Jain. “Reconnection at three dimensional magnetic null points: Effect of current sheet asymmetry”. *Physics of Plasmas*, **20**:052901, 2013.
- [30] P. F. Wyper, R. Jain, and D. I. Pontin. “Spine-fan reconnection-The influence of temporal and spatial variation in the driver”. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **545**:A78, 2012.
- [31] A. K. Al-Hachami and D. I. Pontin. “Magnetic reconnection at 3D null points: effect of magnetic field asymmetry”. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **512**:A84, 2010.
- [32] K. Galsgaard and D. I. Pontin. “Current accumulation at an asymmetric 3D null point caused by generic shearing motions”. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **534**:A2, 2011.
- [33] J. A. Miller, P. J. Cargill, A. G. Emslie, G. D. Holman, B. R. Dennis, T. N. LaRosa, R. M. Winglee, S. G. Benka, and S. Tsuneta. “Critical issues for understanding particle acceleration in impulsive solar flares”. , *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, **102**:14631, 1997.
- [34] V. Petrosian and A. M. Bykov. “Particle Acceleration Mechanisms”. *Space Science Reviews*, **134**:207, 2008.
- [35] A. M. Raadu. “Particle acceleration mechanisms in space plasmas”. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part C: Solar, Terrestrial and Planetary Science*, **26**:55, 2001.
- [36] R. P. Lin and H. S. Hudson. “Non-thermal processes in large solar flares”. *Solar Physics*, **50**:153, 1976.
- [37] R. P. Lin, S. Krucker, G. J. Hurford, D. M. Smith, H. S. Hudson, G. D. Holman, R. A. Schwartz, B. R. Dennis, G. H. Share, R. J. Murphy, A. G. Emslie, C. Johns-Krull, and N. Vilmer. “RHESSI Observations of Particle Acceleration and Energy Release in an Intense Solar Gamma-Ray Line Flare”. *The Astrophysical Journal*, **595**:L69, 2003.
- [38] J. P. Eastwood, M. A. Shay, T. D. Phan, and M. Øieroset. “Asymmetry of the ion diffusion region Hall electric and magnetic fields during guide field reconnection: Observations and comparison with simulations”. *Physical Review Letters*, **104**:205001, 2010.



- [39] Yu. V. Khotyaintsev, D. B. Graham, C. Norgren, E. Eriksson, W. Li, A. Johlander, A. Vaivads, M. Andr , P. L. Pritchett, A. Retin , T. D. Phan, R. E. Ergun, K. Goodrich, P. A. Lindqvist, G. T. Marklund, O. Le Contel, F. Plaschke, W. Magnes, R. J. Strangeway, C. T. Russell, H. Vaith, M. R. Argall, C. A. Kletzing, R. Nakamura, R. B. Torbert, W. R. Paterson, D. J. Gershman, J. C. Dorelli, L. A. Avanov, B. Lavraud, Y. Saito, B. L. Giles, C. J. Pollock, D. L. Turner, J. D. Blake, J. F. Fennell, A. Jaynes, B. H. Mauk, and J. L. Burch. "Electron jet of asymmetric reconnection". *Geophysical Research Letters*, **43**:5571, 2016.
- [40] S. Dalla and P. K. Browning. "Particle acceleration at a three-dimensional reconnection site in the solar corona". *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **436**:1103, 2005.
- [41] S. Dalla and P. K. Browning. "Jets of energetic particles generated by magnetic reconnection at a three-dimensional magnetic null". *The Astrophysical Journal*, **640**:L99, 2006.
- [42] S. Dalla and P. K. Browning. "Particle trajectories and acceleration during 3D fanreconnection". *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **491**:289, 2008.
- [43] V. V. Zharkova and M. Gordovskyy. "Particle Acceleration Asymmetry in a Reconnecting Nonneutral Current Sheet". *The Astrophysical Journal*, **604**:884, 2004.
- [44] V. V. Zharkova and M. Gordovskyy. "Energy spectra of particles accelerated in a reconnecting current sheet with the guiding magnetic field". *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **356**:1107, 2005.
- [45] M. Hosseinpour. "Test particle acceleration in torsional fan reconnection". *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **445**:2476, 2014.
- [46] M. Hosseinpour. "Test particle acceleration in torsional spine magnetic reconnection". *Astrophysics and Space Science*, **353**:379, 2014.
- [47] M. Hosseinpour, M. Mehdizade, and M. A. Mohammadi. "Comparison of test particle acceleration in torsional spine and fan reconnection regimes". *Physics of Plasmas*, **21**:102904, 2014.
- [48] Z. Akbari, M. Hossienpour, and M. A. Mohammadi. "Proton acceleration in three-dimensional non-null magnetic reconnection". *Journal of Plasma Physics*, **82**:905820509, 2016.
- [49] Z. Akbari, M. Hossienpour, and M. A. Mohammadi. "Particle acceleration in three-dimensional reconnection of flux tube disconnection". *Astrophysics and Space Science*, **361**:355, 2016.
- [50] P. Foukal, P. Miller, and L. Gilliam. "Electric fields in coronal magnetic loops". *Solar Physics*, **83**:83, 1983.
- [51] W. Davis. "Measurement of plasma wave electric fields in solar flares". *Solar Physics*, **54**:139, 1977.
- [52] P. Foukal, R. Little, and L. Gilliam. "Paschen-line Stark-broadening as an electric field diagnostic in erupting prominences". *Solar Physics*, **65**:114, 1987.
- [53] M. I. Pudovkin, S. A. Zaitseva, N. O. Shumilov, and C. V. Meister. "Large-Scale Electric Fields in Solar Flare Regions". *Solar Physics*, **178**:125, 1998.
- [54] M. Hosseinpour. "Accelerated jets of energetic protons generated by torsional fan reconnection". *Astrophysics and Space Science*, **358**:40, 2015.