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#### Abstract

A formalism which enables one to strictly conserve the number of particles when taking into account the isovector pairing correlations is presented in the case of odd mass nuclei. With this aim, we had to first establish the expression of the projector for such systems. Expressions of the ground state and its energy have been exhibited. The model has been numerically tested in the framework of a schematic model.
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## Background

During the last two decades, many works have been devoted to the study of neutron-proton ( np ) pairing correlations (see e.g., [1-17] ). Indeed, the region of $N \simeq Z$ medium mass nuclei is now accessible to experiments and this fact led to renewed interest of theoreticians for this kind of nuclei. In the latter, one expects that neutrons and protons occupy the same levels and thus that the np pairing effect would be important. This effect is often treated within the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) approximation [1-8]. However, it is well known that the major defect of the BCS theory is its violation of the particle-number conservation symmetry, in the pairing between like-particles case [18-22] as well as in the np pairing case.
The particle-number symmetry may be restored using a projection method. Several methods have been already proposed in the np pairing case, such as the quasiparticle random phase approximation (QRPA) [23-31], the Lipkin-Nogami method [32], the generator coordinate method [33], and the PBCS-type projection methods [34] of FBCS-type [35], or the isospin and particle-number projection method [36]. In previous papers [37-40], we

[^0]proposed and applied a generalization of the SBCS (sharpBCS) projection method [41-43]. However, this generalization is valid only for even-even nuclei and has not been yet extended to odd mass systems. The goal of the present work is to propose a formalism which could be applied to odd mass nuclei. It is based on the Wahlborn blocking method [44,45].
In seeking coherence, the method for the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian and the BCS formalism are recalled in the first two sections. The particle-number conservation method is then presented in the next section. The formalism is numerically applied to a schematic model in the 'Numerical results and discussion' Section. Main conclusions are summarized in the last section.

## Hamiltonian diagonalization

Let us consider a system constituted by $N$ neutrons and $Z$ protons. In the second quantization and isospin formalism, the Hamiltonian which describes this system is given in the isovector pairing case by $[5,8]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}= & \sum_{v>0, t} \varepsilon_{v t}\left(a_{v t}^{+} a_{\nu t}+a_{\tilde{v} t}^{+} a_{\tilde{v} t}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t t^{\prime}} G_{t t^{\prime}} \sum_{\nu, \mu>0}\left(a_{\nu t}^{+} a_{\tilde{v} t^{\prime}}^{+} a_{\tilde{\mu} t^{\prime}} a_{\mu t}+a_{\nu t}^{+} a_{\tilde{v} t^{\prime}}^{+} a_{\tilde{\mu} t} a_{\mu t^{\prime}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscript $t$ corresponds to the isospin component $(t=n, p)$, and $a_{\nu t}^{+}$and $a_{\nu t}$ respectively represent the creation and annihilation operators of the particle in the
state $|\nu t\rangle$, of energy $\varepsilon_{v t} ;|\widetilde{v} t\rangle$ is the time-reverse of $|\nu t\rangle$, and $G_{t t^{\prime}}$ characterizes the pairing-strength (one assumes that $G_{t t^{\prime}}$ is constant and $G_{n p}=G_{p n}$ ). The neutrons and protons are supposed to occupy the same energy levels.
In order to conserve, on average, the number of particles (i.e., neutrons and protons), let us introduce the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_{t}(t=n, p)$ and diagonalize the auxiliary Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}-\sum_{t} \lambda_{t} \mathbf{N}_{t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{t}$ are the particle-number operators given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{t}=\sum_{v>0}\left(a_{\nu t}^{+} a_{\nu t}+a_{\widetilde{v} t}^{+} a_{\widetilde{v} t}\right), t=n, p \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Wick theorem, the linearized part of the auxiliary Hamiltonian (2), denoted as $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$, may be written in a matricial form:
$\mathbf{H}^{\prime}=E_{0}+\sum_{\nu>0, t} \xi_{v t}+\sum_{\nu>0}\left(\begin{array}{llll}a_{v p}^{+} & a_{v n}^{+} & a_{\tilde{v} p} & a_{\tilde{v} n}\end{array}\right) A_{\nu}\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{v p} \\ a_{\nu n} \\ a_{\tilde{v} p}^{+} \\ a_{\tilde{\nu} n}^{+}\end{array}\right)$
where $E_{0}$ is the constant term, $A_{v}$ is the excitation matrix given by

$$
A_{v}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\xi_{v p} & 0 & -\Delta_{p p} & -\Delta_{n p}  \tag{5}\\
0 & \xi_{v n} & -\Delta_{n p} & -\Delta_{n n} \\
-\Delta_{p p} & -\Delta_{n p} & -\xi_{v p} & 0 \\
-\Delta_{n p} & -\Delta_{n n} & 0 & -\xi_{v n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and where we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\nu t}=\tilde{\varepsilon}_{v t}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r} G_{r t}\left(1+\delta_{r t}\right) a_{\tilde{v} t}^{+} a_{\tilde{v} t}, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_{v t}=\left(\varepsilon_{\nu t}-\lambda_{t}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{t t^{\prime}}=G_{t t^{\prime}} \sum_{v>0} a_{v t}^{+} a_{\tilde{v} t^{\prime}}^{+}=G_{t t^{\prime}} \sum_{v>0} a_{\tilde{v} t} \stackrel{\Pi}{v}_{\nu t^{\prime}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the generalized Bogoliubov-Valatin transformation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{\nu \tau}^{+}=\sum_{t=n, p}\left(u_{\nu \tau t} a_{v t}^{+}+v_{\nu \tau t} a_{\tilde{v} t}\right)  \tag{8}\\
\alpha_{\nu \tau}=\sum_{t=n, p}\left(u_{\nu \tau t} a_{\nu t}+v_{v \tau t} a_{\tilde{v} t}^{+}\right)
\end{array} \quad \tau=1,2\right.
$$

the Hamiltonian (4) becomes

$$
\mathbf{H}^{\prime}=E_{0}+\sum_{\nu>0, t} \xi_{v t}+{ }^{t} V\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
E_{\nu 1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & E_{\nu 2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -E_{\nu 1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -E_{\nu 2}
\end{array}\right) V
$$

with the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{v \tau}^{2}= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(E_{v p}^{2}+E_{v n}^{2}+2 \Delta_{n p}^{2}\right)+(-1)^{\tau} \sqrt{R_{\nu}}\right], \tau=1,2 \\
& R_{v}=\left(E_{v p}^{2}-E_{v n}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
&+4 \Delta_{n p}^{2}\left[E_{v p}^{2}+E_{v n}^{2}-2\left[\xi_{v n} \xi_{v p}-\Delta_{n n} \Delta_{p p}\right]\right] \\
& E_{v t}^{2}= \xi_{v t}^{2}+\Delta_{t t}^{2}, \quad t=n, p \\
& V=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{v 1} \\
\alpha_{v 2} \\
\alpha_{\tilde{\nu} 1}^{+} \\
\alpha_{\tilde{v} 2}^{+}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## BCS formalism

## Ground state

The BCS ground state is obtained by eliminating all the quasiparticles from the actual vacuum, i.e., $|\Psi\rangle \propto$ $\prod_{\nu, \tau} \alpha_{\nu \tau}|0\rangle$. Using the Bogoliubov-Valatin transformation (8), this state may be written after normalization in the particle representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi\rangle=\prod_{j>0}\left|\Psi_{j}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Psi_{j}\right\rangle= & {\left[B_{1}^{j} A_{j p}^{+} A_{j n}^{+}+B_{p}^{j} A_{j p}^{+}+B_{n}^{j} A_{j n}^{+}\right.}  \tag{10}\\
& \left.+B_{4}^{j}\left(a_{j p}^{+} a_{j n}^{+}+a_{j n}^{+} a_{j p}^{+}\right)+B_{5}^{j}\right]|0\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{j t}^{+}=a_{j t}^{+} a_{j t}^{+}$refers to the creation operator of a particle pair. However, the state (9) can only describe even-even systems since it is a superposition of even states. For an even-odd system, if one assumes that the blocked level is $v T(T=n$ or $p)$, the ground state is given by $[46,47]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nu T\rangle=a_{\nu T}^{+} \prod_{\substack{j>0 \\ j \neq v}}\left|\Psi_{j}\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\Psi_{j}\right\rangle$ is defined by (10).
It is worth noticing that in the latter expression, the coefficients $B_{i}^{j}$ that appear in (10) depend on $v$, this dependence has not been explicited in order to simplify the notations.

Let us note that the limits when $\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0$ of all expressions in the np pairing case are given in Appendix 1.

## Gap equations - energy <br> Even-even system

The gap equations, as well as the energy expression, are well established in the framework of the BCS formalism for an even-even system. In the following, we will briefly recall them so as to show later the differences with the even-odd systems.

The total particle-number operator is defined by $\mathbf{N}=$ $\sum_{t} \mathbf{N}_{t}$. Using Equation (9), the particle-number conservation condition reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\Psi| \mathbf{N}|\Psi\rangle=2 \sum_{j>0}\left[2\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2}+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, the gap parameters defined by (7) become

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{t t} & =-G_{t t} \sum_{j>0}\left(B_{1}^{j} B_{t}^{j}+B_{5}^{j} B_{t^{\prime}}^{j}\right) \quad\left(t=n, p, t^{\prime} \neq t\right) \\
\Delta_{n p} & =2 G_{n p} \sum_{j>0} B_{4}^{j}\left(B_{1}^{j}-B_{5}^{j}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the system energy is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}= & 2 \sum_{j>0}\left\{\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\right]\left(\varepsilon_{j p}+\varepsilon_{j n}\right)\right.  \tag{14}\\
& +\sum_{t}\left[\left(B_{t}^{j}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{j t}-\frac{1}{2} G_{t t}\left(\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{t}^{j}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} G_{n p}\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \\
& -\sum_{\substack{j, l>0 \\
j \neq l}}\left\{\sum_{t} G_{t t}\left(B_{1}^{j} B_{t^{\prime}}^{j}+B_{t}^{j} B_{5}^{j}\right)\left(B_{1}^{l} B_{t^{\prime}}^{l}+B_{t}^{l} B_{5}^{l}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 G_{n p} B_{4}^{j}\left(B_{1}^{j}-B_{5}^{j}\right) B_{4}^{l}\left(B_{1}^{l}-B_{5}^{l}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t^{\prime} \neq t$ (i.e., $t^{\prime}=n(p)$ if $\left.t=p(n)\right)$.

## Even-odd system

In the case of an even-odd system, the particle-number conservation condition reads, using the state (11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\nu T| \mathbf{N}|\nu T\rangle=1+2 \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\ j \neq v}}\left[2\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2}+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the gap parameters, they are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{t t}^{(v)}=-G_{t t} \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}}\left(B_{1}^{j} B_{t}^{j}+B_{5}^{j} B_{t^{\prime}}^{j}\right) \quad\left(t=, n, p, \quad t^{\prime} \neq t\right) \\
& \Delta_{n p}^{(v)}=2 G_{n p} \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}} B_{4}^{j}\left(B_{1}^{j}-B_{5}^{j}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The system energy is given in this case by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}^{\nu T}= & \varepsilon_{\nu T}+2 \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}}\left\{\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\right]\left(\varepsilon_{j p}+\varepsilon_{j n}\right)\right.  \tag{17}\\
& +\sum_{t}\left[\left(B_{t}^{j}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{j t}-\frac{1}{2} G_{t t}\left(\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{t}^{j}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} G_{n p}\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \\
& -\sum_{\substack{j, l>0 \\
j \neq l \neq v}}\left\{\sum_{t} G_{t t}\left(B_{1}^{j} B_{t^{\prime}}^{j}+B_{t}^{j} B_{5}^{j}\right)\left(B_{1}^{l} B_{t^{\prime}}^{l}+B_{t}^{l} B_{5}^{l}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 G_{n p} B_{4}^{j}\left(B_{1}^{j}-B_{5}^{j}\right) B_{4}^{l}\left(B_{1}^{l}-B_{5}^{l}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t^{\prime} \neq t$. Expressions (15) to (17) are similar to their homologues (12) to (14) of the even-even case. One can clearly see that the blocked level is occupied by the single particle and that the index $v$ is excluded from the summations over $j$.

## Particle-number projection

## Ground state

It is well established that the states (9) and (11) are not eigen-states of the particle-number operator. However, the particle-number symmetry may be restored using a particle-number projection method. In the present work, we use the Sharp-BCS (SBCS) one [37-40].

## Even-even system

The operator that enables one to project the conventional BCS state (i.e., in the pairing between like-particles case) on the good particle number is given by [45]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \exp (i \varphi(\mathbf{N}-2 P)) d \varphi \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P$ being the number of pairs of particles and $\mathbf{N}$ the particle-number operator of the considered system.
Its discrete form is given by [42]
$\mathcal{P}_{m}=\frac{1}{2(m+1)}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \xi_{k} z_{k}^{-P} \prod_{j}\left[1+a_{j}^{+} a_{j}\left(\sqrt{z_{k}}-1\right)\right]+\right.$ c.c. $\}$
where
$z_{k}=\exp \left(\frac{i k \pi}{m+1}\right)$ and $\xi_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{2} \text { if } k=0 \text { or } k=m+1 \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right\}$
where $m$ is a non-zero integer which represents the extraction degree of the false components and 'c.c'. means the complex conjugate with respect to $z_{k}$.

In the isovector pairing case, the ground state (9) is simultaneously projected on the good neutron and proton numbers, i.e., [38-40]

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Psi \Psi_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle= & \mathcal{P}_{n} \mathcal{P}_{p}|\Psi\rangle \\
= & C_{m m^{\prime}} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}\left\{z_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}}\left|\Psi\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}}\left|\Psi\left(\bar{z}_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle+\text { c.c. }\right\} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle=\prod_{j>0}\left|\Psi_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Psi_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle= & \left\{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}} B_{1}^{j} A_{j p}^{+} A_{j n}^{+}+z_{k^{\prime}} B_{p}^{j} A_{j p}^{+}+z_{k} B_{n}^{j} A_{j n}^{+}\right. \\
& \left.+\sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}} B_{4}^{j}\left(a_{j p}^{+} a_{j n}^{+}+a_{\tilde{j}}^{+} a_{j p}^{+}\right)+B_{5}^{j}\right\}|0\rangle \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

$C_{m m^{\prime}}$ is the normalization constant.

## Even-odd system

In the pairing between like-particles case for an odd system which constituted of $(2 P+1)$ particles, the projector on the good particle-number is given by
$\mathcal{P}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \exp (i \varphi(\mathbf{N}-2 P-1)) d \varphi$.
Its discrete form is given by
$\mathcal{P}_{m}=\frac{1}{2(m+1)}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \xi_{k} z_{k}^{-\left(P+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \prod_{j}\left[1+a_{j}^{+} a_{j}\left(\sqrt{z_{k}}-1\right)\right]+\right.$ c.c. $\}$.

One then obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|v T_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle= & C_{v m m^{\prime}} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}} a_{v T}^{+}\left\{z_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}}\left|\Psi\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle_{\nu}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}}\left|\Psi\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle_{v}+\text { c.c. }\right\} \quad, T=n, p \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle_{v}=\prod_{\substack{j>0 \\ j \neq v}}\left|\Psi_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|\Psi_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle$ being defined by (9). Let us however recall that in this case the coefficients $B_{i}^{j}$ depend on $v . C_{v m m^{\prime}}$ is the normalization constant.

## Expectation values

## Even-even system

The calculation of the expectation value of a given operator $\mathbf{O}$ that conserves the particle-number is simplified by the use of the property [37]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi_{m m^{\prime}}\right| \mathbf{O}\left|\Psi_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle=4(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) C_{m m^{\prime}}\langle\Psi| \mathbf{O}\left|\Psi_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\mathbf{O}$ is the identity operator, the normalization condition of the wavefunction (21) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{m m^{\prime}}^{-2}= & 4(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m+1 m^{\prime}+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}  \tag{29}\\
& \times\left\{z_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} \prod_{j>0} A_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} \prod_{j>0} A_{j}\left(\bar{z}_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\text { c.c. }\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

with the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \left\{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}+z_{k^{\prime}}\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2}+z_{k}\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}}\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{5}^{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\bar{z}_{k}$ being the complex conjugate with respect to $z_{k}$. $P_{N}$ (respectively $P_{Z}$ ) represents the number of pairs of neutrons (respectively protons).
In the same way, the expectation value of the Hamiltonian (1) over the state $\left|\Psi_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{m m^{\prime}}= & 4(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) C_{m m^{\prime}}^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}  \tag{31}\\
& \times\left[z_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} E\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} E\left(\bar{z}_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\text { c.c. }\right]
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \sum_{j>0}\left[E_{0}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)-G_{n n} E_{n}^{j}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right)-G_{p p} E_{p}^{j}\left(z_{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-G_{n p} E_{n p}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right] \prod_{\substack{i>0 \\
i \neq j}} A_{i}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -\sum_{\substack{j, l>0 \\
j \neq l}}\left[G_{n n} z_{k} F_{n}^{j}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right) F_{n}^{l}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+G_{p p} z_{k^{\prime}} F_{p}^{j}\left(z_{k}\right) F_{p}^{l}\left(z_{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 G_{n p} \sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}} F_{n p}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right) F_{n p}^{l}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right] \prod_{\substack{i>0 \\
i \neq j, l}} A_{i}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & 2\left\{\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} z_{k} \varepsilon_{j n}+\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2} z_{k^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{j p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}+\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2} \sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}}\right]\left(\varepsilon_{j n}+\varepsilon_{j p}\right)\right\} \\
E_{n}^{j}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & z_{k}\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} z_{k^{\prime}}+\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2}\right] \\
F_{n}^{j}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & B_{1}^{j} B_{p}^{j} z_{k^{\prime}}+B_{n}^{j} B_{5}^{j} \\
E_{p}^{j}\left(z_{k}\right)= & z_{k^{\prime}}\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} z_{k}+\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2}\right] \\
F_{p}^{j}\left(z_{k}\right)= & B_{1}^{j} B_{n}^{j} z_{k}+B_{p}^{j} B_{5}^{j} \\
E_{n p}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}}\left[\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}}+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\right] \\
F_{n p}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & B_{4}^{j}\left(B_{1}^{j} \sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}}-B_{5}^{j}\right) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and where $A_{i}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ is given by Equation (30).
The real parts of Equations (29) and (31) are given in Appendix 2.

## Even-odd system

In the case of an even-odd system, using an expression similar to (28), one obtains for the normalization condition of the state (26)

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{v m m^{\prime}}^{-2}= & 4(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}  \tag{34}\\
& \times\left\{z_{k}^{-P_{N} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} \prod_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}} A_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} \prod_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}} A_{j}\left(\bar{z}_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\text { c.c. }\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$A_{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ being defined by (30).
The energy of the system is obtained using the wavefunction (26), i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{m m^{\prime}}^{\nu T}= & \varepsilon_{\nu T}+4(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) C_{\nu m m^{\prime}}^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left[z_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} E^{\nu}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} E^{\nu}\left(\bar{z}_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\text { c.c. }\right] \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{v}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}}\left[E_{0}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)-G_{n n} E_{n}^{j}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right)-G_{p p} E_{p}^{j}\left(z_{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-G_{n p} E_{n p}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right] \prod_{\substack{i>0 \\
i \neq v}} A_{i}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -\sum_{\substack{j, l>0 \\
j \neq l \\
j \neq v}}\left(G_{n n} z_{k} F_{n}^{j}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right) F_{n}^{l}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right)+G_{p p} z_{k^{\prime}} F_{p}^{j}\left(z_{k}\right) F_{p}^{l}\left(z_{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 G_{n p} \sqrt{z_{k} z_{k^{\prime}}} F_{n p}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right) F_{n p}^{l}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right) \prod_{\substack{i>0 \\
i \neq j, l}} A_{i}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms $E_{i}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right), F_{i}^{j}\left(z_{k^{\prime}}\right), F_{i}^{j}\left(z_{k}\right)$, and $F_{i}^{j}\left(z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)(i=n$, $p, n p$ ) are given by the same expressions as in the eveneven case, i.e., by Equations in (33). Let us note that the blocked particle does not contribute to the pairing energy, but its energy, which is due to the occupation of the $|v\rangle$ level of the single-particles model that appears in the total energy.

## Numerical results and discussion

The previously described formalism has been tested within the schematic one-level model. In the latter, it is assumed that there is only one level of energy $\varepsilon_{\nu t}=0 \forall$ $\nu$ and for $t=n, p$. In all that follows, we used the total degeneracy of level value $\Omega=12$.

## Gap parameters

We have first studied the variations of the various gap parameters as a function of the ratio $G_{n p} / G_{p p}$ in the eveneven case as well as in the odd one. We used the values $Z=6$ (see Figure 1) and $Z=8$ (see Figure 2) with $(N-Z)=0,1,2,3$. In each case, the neutron and proton pairing-strength values are $G_{n n}=G_{p p}=0.125 \mathrm{MeV}$. The behavior of the $\Delta_{n n}, \Delta_{p p}$, and $\Delta_{n p}$ parameters in the even-even case (upper part of Figures 1 and 2) is similar to those of several works (see e.g., [3-5,7]). One notes that there exists a critical value of $G_{n p}$ (which will be hereafter denoted $\left.\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}\right)$, under which there is no np pairing (i.e., $\Delta_{n p}=0$ and the $\Delta_{n n}$ and $\Delta_{p p}$ values are those of the pairing between like-particles case).
In the odd case (lower part of Figures 1 and 2), the trends of the three curves are very similar to those of the eveneven case, as underlined in [46,47].

## Test of the projection method

In order to judge the efficiency of the projection method, we have studied the overlap between the BCS wavefunction and the projected one in the even-even case ( $\langle\Psi|$ $\left.\Psi_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ ) (see Table 1 for $Z=6, N=6$ and Table 2 for $Z=$


Figure 1 Variation of the gap parameters versus $G_{n p} / G_{p p}$ within the one-level model for $Z=6$ with $N-Z=0,1,2,3$.


Figure 2 Variation of the gap parameters versus $G_{n p} / G_{p p}$ within the one-level model for $Z=8$ with $N-Z=0,1,2,3$.

Table 1 Variation of overlap between the projected and non-projected states for an even-even system ( $Z=6$, $N=6$ )

| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Psi} \mid \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Psi} \mid \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{m m ^ { \prime }}}\right\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.26 | 1 | 0 | 0.224 |
| 0 | 1 | 0.224 | 1 | 1 | 0.222 |
| 0 | 2 | 0.223 | 1 | 2 | 0.222 |
| 0 | 3 | 0.223 | 1 | 3 | 0.223 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0.223 | 3 | 0 | 0.223 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.222 | 3 | 1 | 0.223 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.223 | 3 | 2 | 0.224 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.224 | 3 | 3 | 0.224 |

Table 2 Variation of overlap between the projected and non-projected states for an even-even system ( $Z=8$, $N=8$ )

| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Psi} \mid \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{\Psi} \mid \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{m m ^ { \prime }}}\right\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.268 | 1 | 0 | 0.217 |
| 0 | 1 | 0.217 | 1 | 1 | 0.216 |
| 0 | 2 | 0.216 | 1 | 2 | 0.216 |
| 0 | 3 | 0.216 | 1 | 3 | 0.216 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0.216 | 3 | 0 | 0.216 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.217 | 3 | 1 | 0.217 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.217 | 3 | 2 | 0.217 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.217 | 3 | 3 | 0.217 |

Table 3 Variation of the overlap between the projected and non-projected states for an odd system ( $Z=6, N=7$ )

| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{m m}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\langle\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T}\| \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T}_{\left.\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}\right\rangle}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.249 | 1 | 0 | 0.195 |
| 0 | 1 | 0.195 | 1 | 1 | 0.189 |
| 0 | 2 | 0.195 | 1 | 2 | 0.189 |
| 0 | 3 | 0.194 | 1 | 3 | 0.189 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0.197 | 3 | 0 | 0.198 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.189 | 3 | 1 | 0.189 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.190 | 3 | 2 | 0.190 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.190 | 3 | 3 | 0.190 |

Table 4 Variation of the overlap between the projected and non-projected states for an odd system ( $Z=8, N=9$ )

| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\left\langle\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T} \mid \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\langle\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T}\| \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{T}_{\left.\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}\right\rangle}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.249 | 1 | 0 | 0.193 |
| 0 | 1 | 0.192 | 1 | 1 | 0.184 |
| 0 | 2 | 0.191 | 1 | 2 | 0.184 |
| 0 | 3 | 0.191 | 1 | 3 | 0.184 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0.194 | 3 | 0 | 0.194 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.184 | 3 | 1 | 0.184 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.184 | 3 | 2 | 0.184 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.184 | 3 | 3 | 0.184 |

$8, N=8)$ as well as in the odd one $\left(\left\langle\nu T \mid \nu T_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right)$ (see Table 3 for $Z=6, N=7$ and Table 4 for $Z=8$, $N=9$ ) as a function of the extraction degrees of the false components $m$ and $m^{\prime}$. We used in each case the values $G_{p p}=0.125 \mathrm{MeV}, G_{n n}=0.150 \mathrm{MeV}$, and $G_{n p}=0.137$ MeV . One then notices a rapid convergence: in practice, the convergence is reached as soon as $m=m^{\prime}=3$ for all considered systems.

In addition, there exists an important discrepancy between the projected and non-projected states. Indeed, the overlap between the projected and non-projected wavefunctions is of the order of 0.22 for the even-even systems and 0.19 for the odd ones. This shows the necessity

Table 5 Variation of projected ground-state energy in case of even-even system ( $Z=6, N=6$ ); BCS energy
$E_{0}=-7.733 \mathrm{MeV}$

| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { m } ^ { \prime }}}(\mathbf{M e V})$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{m b}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{M e V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | -7.780 | 1 | 0 | -8.172 |
| 0 | 1 | -8.168 | 1 | 1 | -8.206 |
| 0 | 2 | -8.161 | 1 | 2 | -8.201 |
| 0 | 3 | -8.163 | 1 | 3 | -8.201 |
| 0 | 4 | -8.164 | 1 | 4 | -8.202 |
|  |  | -8.165 | 3 | 0 | -8.167 |
| 2 | 0 | -8.201 | 3 | 1 | -8.202 |
| 2 | 1 | -8.200 | 3 | 2 | -8.200 |
| 2 | 2 | -8.200 | 3 | 3 | -8.200 |
| 2 | 3 | -8.200 | 3 | 4 | -8.199 |
| 2 | 4 | -8.169 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | -8.202 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | -8.200 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | -8.199 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | -8.199 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Variation of projected ground-state energy in case of even-even system ( $Z=8, N=8$ ); BCS energy
$E_{0}=-9.349 \mathrm{MeV}$

| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{m ⿻}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{M e V})$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{m m}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{M e V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | -9.431 | 1 | 0 | -9.844 |
| 0 | 1 | -9.838 | 1 | 1 | -9.924 |
| 0 | 2 | -9.837 | 1 | 2 | -9.933 |
| 0 | 3 | -9.837 | 1 | 3 | -9.935 |
| 0 | 4 | -9.837 | 1 | 4 | -9.936 |
|  |  | -9.843 | 3 | 0 | -9.844 |
| 2 | 0 | -9.933 | 3 | 1 | -9.936 |
| 2 | 1 | -9.936 | 3 | 2 | -9.937 |
| 2 | 2 | -9.936 | 3 | 3 | -9.937 |
| 2 | 3 | -9.937 | 3 | 4 | -9.937 |
| 2 | 4 | -9.844 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | -9.937 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | -9.937 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | -9.937 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | -9.937 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 Variation of projected ground-state energy in case of odd system ( $Z=8, N=9$ ); BCS energy $E_{0}^{\nu T}=-7.761$ MeV

| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{( M e V})}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { m } \boldsymbol { m } ^ { \prime }}}^{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { T }}} \mathbf{( M e V )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | -7.754 | 1 | 0 | -8.551 |
| 0 | 1 | -8.664 | 1 | 1 | -8.832 |
| 0 | 2 | -8.711 | 1 | 2 | -8.875 |
| 0 | 3 | -8.722 | 1 | 3 | -8.881 |
| 0 | 4 | -8.724 | 1 | 4 | -8.884 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | -8.559 | 3 | 0 | -8.549 |
| 2 | 1 | -8.878 | 3 | 1 | -8.880 |
| 2 | 2 | -8.886 | 3 | 2 | -8.889 |
| 2 | 3 | -8.889 | 3 | 3 | -8.892 |
| 2 | 4 | -8.891 | 3 | 4 | -8.893 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | -8.545 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | -8.881 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | -8.890 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | -8.893 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4 | -8.893 |  |  |  |

of eliminating the false components of the BCS wavefunctions when calculating the physical observables.

## Energy

We have first studied the convergence of the method for the projected ground-state energy. As it can be seen in Tables 5 and 6 (respectively Tables 7 and 8) where we reported the variations of $E_{m m^{\prime}}$ (respectively $E_{m m^{\prime}}^{\nu T}$ ) as a function of the extraction degrees of the false components $m$ and $m^{\prime}$, in the case of even-even systems (respectively odd systems), the convergence is also rapidly reached in the case of the energy (as soon as $m=m^{\prime}=4$ in all the considered cases). However, the convergence seems to be slightly faster in even-even cases than in the odd ones.
As a second step, we have studied the variations of the energy, before ( $E_{0}$, (respectively $\left.E_{0}^{\nu T}\right)$ ) and after $\left(E_{m m^{\prime}}\right.$, (respectively $\left.E_{m m^{\prime}}^{\nu T}\right)$ ) the projection as a function of the ratio $G_{n p} / G_{p p}$. The corresponding results are shown in Figure 3 for $Z=6$ (respectively Figure 4 for $Z=8$ ) with $(N-Z)=0,1,2,3$. From these figures, one may conclude that the behavior of the energy as a function of $G_{n p}$ (before and after the projection) is similar in the eveneven case and the odd one. Here again, there appears two regions: i.e., when $G_{n p}<\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$ and when $G_{n p}>\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$. The slope variation in the $E_{0}$ (respectively $E_{0}^{\nu T}$ ) and $E_{m m^{\prime}}$ (respectively $E_{m m^{\prime}}^{\nu T}$ ) curves corresponds to the value $G_{n p}=$ $\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$. The fact that the energies are not constant when


Figure 3 Variation of the energy as a function of the ratio $G_{n p} / G_{p p}$ for $Z=6$ with $N-Z=0,1,2,3$.


Figure 4 Variation of the energy as a function of the ratio $G_{n p} / G_{p p}$ for $Z=8$ with $N-Z=0,1,2,3$.
$G_{n p}<\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$, even if $\Delta_{n n}$ and $\Delta_{p p}$ are constant, is due to the additional term in $G_{n p}$ in Equations (36 ), (38), (39), and (40).

Moreover, in every case, the projection effect leads to a lowering of the energy. One may also notice that the discrepancy between the BCS and projected energy values is constant for a given region. We reported in Table 9 (respectively Table 10) the values of the relative discrepancy $\delta E(\%)$ between the projected and non-projected energies, as a function of $(N-Z)$, for $Z=6$ and $Z=8$ when $G_{n p}=0.75 G_{p p}\left(\right.$ respectively when $\left.G_{n p}=1.5 G_{p p}\right)$ in order to illustrate the region $G_{n p}<\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$ (respectively $\left.G_{n p}>\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}\right)$. It then appears that the projection effect is more important in the first region. It also appears that the projection effect is more important in odd systems than in the even-even ones. Indeed, the average value of $\delta E$ is respectively $8 \%$ when $G_{n p}<\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$ and $4 \%$ when $G_{n p}>\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$ in the even-even case, whereas it is $17 \%$ when $G_{n p}<\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$ and $15 \%$ when $G_{n p}>\left(G_{n p}\right)_{c}$ in the odd case. From the above discussion, we can conclude to the necessity of the elimination of the false components in the BCS states in the odd mass systems.

## Conclusions

A formalism that enables one to take into account the isovector pairing interaction, with inclusion of the particle-number conservation, in odd systems has been established. The Wahlborn blocking method has been used [44,45].

The most general form of the isovector pairing Hamiltonian has been approximately diagonalized using the Wick theorem. A discrete expression of the projection operator has been constructed. A projection of the BCS wavefunction on both the good proton and neutron numbers has been performed. The expression of the ground-state projected energy has been deduced.

The method has been numerically tested using the onelevel schematic model. The convergence of the method as a function of the extraction degrees of the false components has been studied. The rapidity of this convergence shows the efficiency of the projection method. On the other hand, it has been shown that the behavior of the

Table 9 Variation of the relative discrepancy $\delta E(\%)$ between the projected and non-projected energies when

| $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{p}}=\mathbf{0 . 7 5} \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{Z = \mathbf { 6 }}$ | $\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{- Z}$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{E}(\%)$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}=\mathbf{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{Z}$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{E}(\%)$ |
|  | 0 | 8.03 |  | 0 | 7.89 |
|  | 1 | 21.93 |  | 1 | 15.94 |
|  | 2 | 7.94 |  | 2 | 7.79 |
|  | 3 | 18.71 |  | 3 | 13.85 |

Table 10 Variation of the relative discrepancy $\delta E(\%)$ between the projected and non-projected energies when

| $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{p}}=\mathbf{1 . 5} \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{Z}=\mathbf{6}$ | $\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{- Z}$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{E}(\%)$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}=\mathbf{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}-\boldsymbol{Z}$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{\%})$ |
|  | 0 | 3.02 |  | 0 | 3.18 |
|  | 1 | 19.09 |  | 1 | 13.58 |
|  | 2 | 5.19 |  | 2 | 5.08 |
|  | 3 | 15.71 |  | 3 | 10.58 |

energy as a function of the neutron-proton pairing constant in odd systems is analogous to that of even-even ones. However, this effect seems to be more important in odd systems.

## Appendix 1

## Limit when $\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0$

## Before projection

At the limit when $\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0$, the coefficients $B_{i}^{j}$ which appear in Equation (10) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}^{j}=v_{j p} v_{j n}, \quad B_{t}^{j}=v_{j t} u_{j t^{\prime}} \\
& B_{4}^{j}=0, \quad B_{5}^{j}=u_{j p} u_{j n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t=n, p$ and $t^{\prime} \neq t$.
$u_{\nu t}$ and $\nu_{v t}$ are the occupation and inoccupation probability amplitudes of the $v$ state in the conventional BCS theory (i.e. in the pairing between like-particles case).

It may be easily shown that the wavefunction $|\Psi\rangle$ defined by (9) in the even-even case is then the product of the usual BCS wavefunctions of the proton and neutron systems.

The energy of the system given by (14) reads in this case:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0} E_{0}= & \sum_{t}\left[2 \sum_{j>0} \varepsilon_{j t} v_{j t}^{2}-G_{t t} \sum_{j>0} v_{j t}^{4}-\frac{\Delta_{t t}^{2}}{G_{t t}}\right]  \tag{36}\\
& -G_{n p} \sum_{j>0} v_{j p}^{2} v_{j n}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

This means that in this case, $E_{0}$ is not only the sum of the energies of the proton and neutron systems, but also there is an additional term $\left(-G_{n p} \sum_{j>0} v_{j p}^{2} v_{j n}^{2}\right)$.
In the same way, the wavefunction in the even-odd case defined by (11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0}|\nu T\rangle=a_{\nu T}^{+} \prod_{\substack{t, j>0 \\ j \neq \nu}}\left(u_{j t}+v_{j t} a_{j t}^{+} a_{j t}^{+}\right)|0\rangle \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth noticing that this expression does not exactly reduce to its homologue of the conventional BCS theory. Indeed, in the latter, the neutron and proton systems are considered separately. Thus, when a level of the $t$ (say the
proton) system is blocked, there is no consequence on the $t^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime} \neq t\right)$ (the neutron) system. On the opposite, in the np pairing case, due to the definition of the wavefunction (11), the blocked level $\nu T$ is simultaneously excluded for both types of nucleons (i.e., the protons and the neutrons).
As for the expression of the energy given by (17), it becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\Delta n p \rightarrow 0} E_{0}^{v T}=\varepsilon_{\nu T}+\sum_{t}\left[2 \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}} \varepsilon_{j t} v_{j t}^{2}-G_{t t} \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}} v_{j t}^{4}-\frac{\left(\Delta_{t t}^{(v)}\right)^{2}}{G_{t t}}\right] \\
-G_{n p} \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}} v_{j p}^{2} v_{j n}^{2} . \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

As in the even-even case, the term $\left(-G_{n p} \sum_{\substack{j>0 \\ j \neq v}} v_{j p}^{2} v_{j n}^{2}\right)$ appears in addition to the sum of the proton and neutron system energies.

## After projection

As it was the case before projection, one may easily verify that in the even-even case, $\left|\Psi_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle$, reduces to the product of the projected wavefunctions of the neutron and proton systems in the pairing between like-particles case defined in [41].
The corresponding energy is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0} E_{m m^{\prime}}= & E_{m}+E_{m^{\prime}} \\
& -4 G_{n p}(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) C_{m}^{2} C_{m^{\prime}}^{2}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m+1 m^{\prime}+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \times\left[z_{k}^{-P_{N}+1} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}+1} \sum_{j>0} v_{j n}^{2} v_{j p}^{2} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(u_{i n}^{2}+z_{k} v_{i n}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \times\left(u_{i p}^{2}+z_{k^{\prime}} v_{i p}^{2}\right)+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}+1} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}+1} \sum_{j>0} v_{j n}^{2} v_{j p}^{2} \prod_{i \neq j} \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(u_{i n}^{2}+\bar{z}_{k} v_{i n}^{2}\right)\left(u_{i p}^{2}+z_{k^{\prime}} v_{i p}^{2}\right)\right]+ \text { c.c. }\right\} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{m}$ is the projected energy of the neutron system and $E_{m^{\prime}}$ that of the proton system in the pairing between like-particles case for an even system and $C_{m}$ and $C_{m^{\prime}}$ are the corresponding normalization constants (see [41]). This means that at the limit when $\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0$, the energy (31) does not only reduces to the sum of the proton and neutron systems energies.

In the even-odd case, the wavefunction $\left|\nu T_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ defined by Equation (26) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0}\left|v T_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =a_{\nu T}^{+} C_{m v}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \xi_{k}\left[z_{k}^{-P_{N}} \prod_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}}\left(u_{j n}+z_{k} v_{j n} A_{j n}^{+}+\right)|0\rangle+\text { c.c. }\right]\right\} \\
& \quad \times C_{m^{\prime} v}\left\{\sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k^{\prime}}\left[z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}} \prod_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}}\left(u_{j p}+z_{k^{\prime}} v_{j p} A_{j p}^{+}\right)|0\rangle+\text { c.c. }\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{m \nu}$ and $C_{m^{\prime} \nu}$ being the normalization constants.
As it was already the case before the projection, this expression does not exactly generalizes that of the pairing between like-particles case. Indeed, the blocked level is excluded from the products in both systems. In the same way, the energy (35) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0} E_{m m^{\prime}}^{\nu T} \\
& =\varepsilon_{v T}+E_{m}^{v}+E_{m^{\prime}}^{v} \\
& \quad-4 G_{n p}(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) C_{m \nu}^{2} C_{m^{\prime} v}^{2}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m+1 m^{\prime}+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \quad \times\left[z_{k}^{-P_{N}+1} z_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}+1} \sum_{j \neq v} v_{j n}^{2} v_{j p}^{2} \prod_{i \neq j \neq v}\left(u_{i n}^{2}+z_{k} v_{i n}^{2}\right)\left(u_{i p}^{2}+z_{k^{\prime}} v_{i p}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\bar{z}_{k}^{-P_{N}+1} z_{\left.k_{k^{\prime}}^{-P_{Z}+1} \sum_{j \neq v} v_{j n}^{2} v_{j p}^{2} \prod_{i \neq j \neq v}\left(u_{i n}^{2}+\bar{z}_{k} v_{i n}^{2}\right)\left(u_{i p}^{2}+z_{k^{\prime}} v_{i p}^{2}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad+\text { c.c. } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{m}^{v}= & 2(m+1) C_{m v}^{2}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \xi_{k} z_{k}^{-P+1}\right. \\
& \times\left[\sum_{j \neq v} 2\left(\varepsilon_{j}-\frac{G}{2}\right) v_{j}^{2} \prod_{i \neq j \neq v}\left(u_{i}^{2}+z_{k} v_{i}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 G \sum_{\substack{l<i \\
l \neq v}} u_{j} v_{j} u_{l} v_{l} \prod_{i \neq v, j, l}\left(u_{i}^{2}+z_{k} v_{i}^{2}\right)\right]+ \text { c.c. }\right\} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

One notices that although $\Delta_{n p} \rightarrow 0$, there remains a term in $G_{n p}$. Moreover, as before the projection, the blocked level concerns both the proton and neutron systems.

## Appendix 2

## Extraction of the real parts

Normalization constants
The real part of Equation (29) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{m m^{\prime}}^{-2}= & 8(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left[\rho\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \theta\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\rho\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \theta\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right] \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k} & =\frac{k \pi}{2(m+1)} \\
\theta\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =-2 P_{N} x_{k}-2 P_{Z^{\prime}} x_{k^{\prime}}+\varphi\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
\rho\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\prod_{j>0} \rho_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right), \varphi\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{j>0} \varphi_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
\rho_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\sqrt{\left(a^{(j)}\right)^{2}+\left(b^{(j)}\right)^{2}}, \tan \varphi_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{b^{(j)}}{a^{(j)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{(j)}= & \left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos \left(2 x_{k}+2 x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos 2 x_{k^{\prime}}+\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos 2 x_{k} \\
& +2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\left(B_{5}^{j}\right)^{2} \\
b^{(j)}= & \left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin \left(2 x_{k}+2 x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin 2 x_{k^{\prime}}+\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin 2 x_{k} \\
& +2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, the real part of Equation (34) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\nu m m^{\prime}}^{-2}= & 8(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}  \tag{43}\\
& \times\left[\frac{\rho\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho_{v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)} \cos \theta_{v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\rho\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho_{v}\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)} \cos \theta_{v}\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{i \ldots j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\theta\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)-\varphi_{i}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)-\ldots-\varphi_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)
$$

## Energy

The real part of the energy for an even-even system (Equation (31)) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{m m^{\prime}}= & 8(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) C_{m m^{\prime}}^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left\{\sum\left[\varepsilon_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\varepsilon_{j}\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{j, l>0 \\
j \neq l}}\left[\varepsilon_{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\varepsilon_{j l}\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \frac{\rho\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)}\left\{R_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
& -G_{n n} R_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -G_{p p} R_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right) \cos \Phi_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \left.-G_{n p} R_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \frac{\rho\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rho_{l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \times\left\{-G_{n n} Q_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right) Q_{n}^{l}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n}^{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
& -G_{p p} Q_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right) Q_{p}^{l}\left(x_{k}\right) \cos \Phi_{p}^{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \left.-2 G_{n p} Q_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) Q_{n p}^{l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n p}^{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

with the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\sqrt{\left(a_{0}^{(j)}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{0}^{(j)}\right)^{2}} \\
\eta_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\arctan \left(\frac{b_{0}^{(j)}}{a_{0}^{(j)}}\right) \\
R_{i}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\sqrt{\left(a_{i 1}^{(j)}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{i 1}^{(j)}\right)^{2}} \\
\eta_{i}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\arctan \left(\frac{b_{i 1}^{(j)}}{a_{i 1}^{(j)}}\right)  \tag{47}\\
Q_{i}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\sqrt{\left(a_{i 2}^{(j)}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{i 2}^{(j)}\right)^{2}} \\
\delta_{i}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) & =\arctan \left(\frac{b_{i 2}^{(j)}}{a_{i 2}^{(j)}}\right) \\
i & =n, p, n p
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
\Phi_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2 x_{k} \\
\Phi_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right)+2 x_{k^{\prime}} \\
\Phi_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
\Phi_{n}^{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n}^{l}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2 x_{k} \\
\Phi_{p}^{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right)+\delta_{p}^{l}\left(x_{k}\right)+2 x_{k^{\prime}} \\
\Phi_{n p}^{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n p}^{l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}} \\
&  \tag{49}\\
\theta\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & -2 P_{N} x_{k}-2 P_{Z} x_{k^{\prime}}+\varphi\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
\theta_{i q r}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{i}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+q x_{k}+r x_{k^{\prime}} \\
\theta_{i \ldots . . j r}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{i \ldots . . j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+q x_{k}+r x_{k^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0}^{(j)}= & 2\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{j n}+\varepsilon_{j p}\right) \cos \left(2 x_{k}+2 x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{j p} \cos 2 x_{k^{\prime}} \\
& +2\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{j n} \cos 2 x_{k}+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{j n}+\varepsilon_{j p}\right) \cos \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
b_{0}^{(j)}= & 2\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{j n}+\varepsilon_{j p}\right) \sin \left(2 x_{k}+2 x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{j p} \sin 2 x_{k^{\prime}} \\
& +2\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{j n} \sin 2 x_{k}+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{j n}+\varepsilon_{j p}\right) \sin \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
a_{n 1}^{(j)}=\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos 2 x_{k^{\prime}}+\left(B_{n}^{j}\right)^{2} ; b_{n 1}^{(j)}=\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin 2 x_{k^{\prime}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{p 1}^{(j)}=\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos 2 x_{k}+\left(B_{p}^{j}\right)^{2} ; b_{p 1}^{(j)}=\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin 2 x_{k} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n p 1}^{(j)}=\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos \left(2 x_{k}+2 x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2} \cos \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& b_{n p 1}^{(j)}=\left(B_{1}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin \left(2 x_{k}+2 x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2\left(B_{4}^{j}\right)^{2} \sin \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n 2}^{(j)}=B_{1}^{j} B_{p}^{j} \cos 2 x_{k^{\prime}}+B_{n}^{j} B_{5}^{j} ; b_{n 2}^{(j)}=B_{1}^{j} B_{p}^{j} \sin 2 x_{k^{\prime}} \\
& a_{p 2}^{(j)}=B_{1}^{j} B_{n}^{j} \cos 2 x_{k}+B_{p}^{j} B_{5}^{j} ; \quad b_{p 2}^{(j)}=B_{1}^{j} B_{n}^{j} \sin 2 x_{k} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n p 2}^{(j)}=B_{1}^{j} B_{4}^{j} \cos \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right)-B_{4}^{j} B_{5}^{j} \\
& b_{n p 2}^{(j)}=B_{1}^{j} B_{4}^{j} \sin \left(x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}}\right) . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way, for an even-odd system, the real part of the energy (Equation (35)) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{m m^{\prime}}^{\nu T}= & \varepsilon_{\nu T}+8(m+1)\left(m^{\prime}+1\right) C_{\nu m m^{\prime}}^{2} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{m^{\prime}+1} \xi_{k} \xi_{k^{\prime}}\left\{\sum_{\substack{j>0 \\
j \neq v}}\left[\varepsilon_{j}^{v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\varepsilon_{j}^{v}\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{j, l>0 \\
j \neq l \\
j \neq v}}\left[\varepsilon_{j l}^{v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\varepsilon_{j l}^{v}\left(-x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{j}^{v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \frac{\rho\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rho_{\nu}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \times\left\{R_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{0}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
& -G_{n n} R_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -G_{p p} R_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right) \cos \Phi_{p}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \left.-G_{n p} R_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n p}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{j l}^{\nu}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \frac{\rho\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho_{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rho_{l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rho_{v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \left\{-G_{n n} Q_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right) Q_{n}^{l}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n}^{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
& -G_{p p} Q_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right) Q_{p}^{l}\left(x_{k}\right) \cos \Phi_{p}^{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \left.-2 G_{n p} Q_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) Q_{n p}^{l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cos \Phi_{n p}^{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right\} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

with the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{0}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{0}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
\Phi_{n}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2 x_{k} \\
\Phi_{p}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right)+2 x_{k^{\prime}} \\
\Phi_{n p}^{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\eta_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
\Phi_{n}^{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n}^{j}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n}^{l}\left(x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+2 x_{k} \\
\Phi_{p}^{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{p}^{j}\left(x_{k}\right)+\delta_{p}^{l}\left(x_{k}\right)+2 x_{k^{\prime}} \\
\Phi_{n p}^{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)= & \theta_{j l v}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n p}^{j}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right)+\delta_{n p}^{l}\left(x_{k}, x_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +x_{k}+x_{k^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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