

Contents available at ISC and SID Journal homepage: www.rangeland.ir



Research and Full Length Article:

Greenhouse Gas Emissions as Impacted by Topography and Vegetation Cover in Wooded Grasslands of Laikipia County, Kenya

Janeth Chepkemoi^{A*}, Richard Ndemo Onwonga^A, Richard Nyankanga^A and Angela Nduta Gitau^A ^A Dept. land resource management and Agricultural technology, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya, *Corresponding Author email: <u>cjaneth266@gmail.com</u>

Received on: 24/12/2020 Accepted on: 01/12/2021 DOI: 10.30495/RS.2023.686655

Abstract: Global climate change has been linked to the increase in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Wooded grasslands refer to an understudied landscape contributing an unknown quantity of GHGs to global climate change. The objective of this study was to determine the effects of topography and vegetation cover on carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) fluxes. The study was carried out in Ilmotiok community ranch, Laikipia County. An in situ experiment was done during the January, February, March and April of 2017. Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with split plot arrangement was used main plots topographical zones (TZ) (mid-slope (MS), foot slope (FS), and toe slope (TS)) and subplots vegetation cover (VC) (tree (T), grass (G) and bare (B)). Static chamber frames were installed for the three VC (T, G and B) in three TZ (MS, FS, and TS). GHGs were measured every 7-10 days from January, February, March and April between 8 and 12 hr local time. Sampling was done after fitting the lid at time zero (T0), 10 minutes (T1), 20 minutes (T2) and 30 minutes (T3). During the rainy season, CH₄N₂O and CO₂ fluxes were significantly higher than the dry season. Methane fluxes ranged from -0.32 to 0.24 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹ with the lowest (-0.32 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) recorded under TS*T whereas CO₂ was highest under TS*G (47 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) as compared to MS*G (19 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹). TZ*VC significantly influence N₂O with MS*B recording the lowest (0.008) as compared to TS*B (2.228 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹). CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ emissions were low in January and February and it increased in March and April in all the TZ*VC. From the study results, soil greenhouse gas emissions were significantly increased by topography and vegetation cover. Topography and vegetation cover primarily control the patterns of soil N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, therefore, topography and vegetation features must be explicitly included in the predictions of the responses of soil GHGs emissions.

Key words: Climate change, Greenhouse gas fluxes, Methane, Nitrous oxide, Topography, Vegetation cover

Introduction

Global warming is caused by increased atmospheric concentrations of the greenhouse gases (GHGs) carbon dioxide (CO_2) , nitrous oxide (N_2O) , and methane (CH₄).Terrestrial ecosystems are important sources and sinks for these GHGs, produced and consumed through biological processes including photosynthesis, decomposition, nitrification, denitrification, methanogenesis, and CH₄ oxidation (IPCC, 2013). Soils are the dominating source of atmospheric CO₂ and N₂O (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013). Soil is a key producer of the atmospheric greenhouse gases (GHGs) carbon dioxide (CO_2) , nitrous oxide (N_2O) , and methane (CH₄), as well as a sink in many circumstances (Oertel et al., 2016). However, livestock part contributes about 15% of worldwide greenhouse gas emissions (Gerber et al., 2013), and consequently escalates land degradation, environmental pollution, and decline in biodiversity (Bellarby et al., 2013). Studies in Kenya have revealed 115 significant differences in soil GHG emissions in diverse savanna ecosystems as a function of land-use (Ondier et al., 2019) and management activities (K'Otuto et al. 2013), emphasizing the relevance of savannas in the regional C balance. Therefore, there is challenge in maintaining a balance between productivity, household food security, and environmental preservation (Wright et al., 2012).

The magnitude of soil N_2O and CO_2 emissions in these semi-arid rangelands vary considerably across spatial and temporal scales (Butterbach-Bahl *et al.*, 2013). Soil CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O fluxes differ considerably as it is driven by biological processes, ecological conditions, non-uniformity of soil properties (Butterbach-Bahl *et al.*, 2013). Our understanding of GHG emissions from African soils is limited due to a lack of good soil GHG emission data from wild savanna and crops (Hickman *et al.*, 2014; Valentini *et al.*, 2014).

Although many studies have quantified the variability of CH₄ fluxes, they often covered large spatial extents which captured significant environmental gradients at those scales, but sampling locations were generally sparse (Teh et al., 2014). The smaller-scale patterns of CH₄ fluxes within these landscapes have not been investigated thoroughly at ecosystem scale gradients, which could be problematic if those patterns are important for estimating GHGs fluxes (Nicolini et al., 2013). Similarly, momentous effort on the study of carbon dioxide (CO₂) fluxes in a multiple of varied biomes using both chamber measurements and eddy covariance approaches has been done (Allaire et al., 2012) but there is a considerable uncertainty in the estimates of GHGs emissions from soils.

Therefore, practical methods are needed to quantify soil GHG fluxes in order to better understand magnitudes, spatial and temporal variability of soil-atmosphere trace-gas exchange (Allaire et al., 2012). Research studies integrating topographic inconsistency into ecological scale predictions of in situ chamber flux measurements of numerous GHGs are scarce (Merbold and Wohlfahrt Understanding topography 2012). and vegetation cover effects on soil GHG fluxes remains difficult due to the high spatialtemporal variations of fluxes in wooded grasslands. Therefore, a study was carried out to determine greenhouse gas (carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide and methane) fluxes as influenced by topography and vegetation cover types in wooded grasslands of Laikipia County, Kenya.

Materials and Methods Study area

Laikipia County lies across the Equator between latitude $(00^{\circ}17' \text{ S})$ and $(00^{\circ}45' \text{ N})$ and longitude 36.015°E and 37.020° E (Fig.

1). Within an area of 9500 km² from the wider 56,000 Km² Ewaso Ecosystem extending from Mt. Kenya slopes of (5199 m) in the South East to the edge of the Great Rift Valley in the West. The study site Il Motiok Group Ranches (GR) is one of the 11 GRs in the region, lying in the northernmost part of the county. These covers approximately 3,651 ha west it boarders Mpala and Soita Nyiro private ranches, northward is Koija GR, eastward is Tie Mamut GR and southwards is Mukogodo private ranch (Ojwang et al., 2010).

The elevation ranges from 1,550 to 1,700 m, with gentle undulating terrain scarps (Lalampa *et al.*, 2016). It lies between two major rivers, permanent river Ewaso Nyiro and seasonal river Losupukiai. The two largely impact ranch usefulness with wet season grazing taking place towards

Losupukiai and dry season towards Ewaso Nyiro River due to access to permanent water.

Laikipia County experiences a weak bimodal rainfall pattern with the long rain expected in April- May while the short rains come in August and October (Lalampa et al., 2016) the study fell in the dry (January), intermediate (February) and rainy season (March and April). The rain is nevertheless extremely variable and might fall any other time within the year. The annual rainfall for the study site varies between 300 mm to 750 mm (Lalampa et al., 2016). Il motiok is within the Laikipia Plateau with imperfectly drained gray to black clay-vertisols and planosols and expanding into the lowland comprising of metamorphic rocks of gneisses.



Fig.1. Map of Kenya showing position of Laikipia County (inset) County map showing land properties and position of study site II Motiok GR. Source (Ojwang *et al.*, 2010).

Research Method

A split plot design based on Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) was used; the main plot had three topographical zones; mid slope (MS), foot slope (FS) and toe slope (TS) and the subplots were the vegetation cover Tree (T), Grass (G) and Bare (B) as the control. A total three transect lines measuring 150m long were drawn for each topographical zone as replicates. Blocking was done along the transect line after every 50m. Measurements of GHGs were done from January to April 2017. The vegetation cover types were determined as the



percentage of the selected area through visual estimation based on the (20 x20 m) subplot area.

Static chamber installation

Static chamber frames were installed (two weeks to the first sampling date to prevent disruption of the soils that could affect greenhouse gas emissions) for the threevegetation cover in each of the three topographical zones. The chamber anchor was inserted 10 cm into the soil, allowing for 15 cm of chamber space above the soil surface (Fig 2).

Fig. 2. Static GHG chamber

To capture observed temporal variability of greenhouse gas emissions, sampling was done for four months (January to April) in 2016, dry month (January), intermediate (February and March) and rainy season (March/April) of 2016. Gas samples were collected between 0800hrs and 1200hr local time. Gas sampling was done immediately after fitting the lid at time zero (T0), after 10 minutes (T1), 20 minutes (T2) and lastly after 30 minutes (T3). Other measurements taken included soil moisture, soil temperature, air temperature and chamber temperature, air

pressure and chamber height from the soil surface. Above ground air temperatures at 1.5 m and inside the base chamber were measured concurrently in each gas sampling event using an Einstich—TFA digital probe thermometer. Soil temperature (°C) and soil moisture content (SM, %v/v) were measured at 5 cm surface soil depth using a probe sensor model 5MT, Decagon Devices Inc. which measured both soil moisture and temperature. Once the systems were operational and set, i.e., thermometers and chamber lids, gases were collected using Luer-Lok syringe and stored in 20ml evacuated vials which were later transported to mazingira Laparotomy, International livestock research institute LRI, Kenya for CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ analysis using an Agilent 6890 Gas chromatograph (Lutes *et al.*, 2016).

 $F= (P/Po) \times (M/Vo) \times (dc/dt) \times (To/T) \times H \quad (Equation 1)$ Where: $F= (for) CO_2 - C \text{ Linear flux (mg.m⁻².h⁻¹), CH_4-C \text{ Linear flux (mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) and N_2O- N \text{ Linear flux (} \mug.m⁻².h⁻¹), P= atmospheric pressure of study site (Pa), P_0= atmospheric pressure of study site (Pa), M= gas mass (g/mol), V_0= molar volume (ml), dc/dt = rate of change in concentrate, T_0 = absolute chamber temperature (°C), The set of the set$

T= absolute chamber temperature at time of sampling (°C),

H= height of static chamber at the time of sampling.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variances (ANOVA) was performed to determine if Methane (CH₄), Carbon dioxide (CO₂) and Nitrous Oxide (N_2O) determined were significantly different among the topography and vegetation cover. A Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test was performed to test the significance of differences p<0.05 in the Methane (CH₄), Carbon dioxide (CO₂) and Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) among treatments, using the GENSTAT 14th edition.

Results

Rainfall and temperature data

Rainfall and air temperature over the four months study period ranged from 7 mm to 400 mm per month), which was closely similar to the long-term average annual rainfall (560 mm) of the study site (Lalampaa *et al.* 2016). Mean annual air temperature ranged from 19-29°C, whereas minimum and maximum ranged from (9-15°C) and 24-32 °C, respectively (Table 1).

| | | 1 | | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Table I: Rainfall, | max1mum, | average and | minimum | temperature |

| Annual data | | Jan | Feb | Mar | April |
|-------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Rainfall (mm) | | 17 | 7 | 250 | 400 |
| | | | | | |
| | Min | 9 | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| Temperatures (°C) | Mean | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 |
| | Max | 28 | 32 | 28 | 24 |

Effects of soil moisture on CO2, CH4 and N2O fluxes

Soil moisture conditions had a significantly (p<0.05) increased GHGs emission (CO₂,

CH₄ and N₂O). CO₂ was significantly (p <0.05) higher (79.39 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) for the wet soil as compared to dry soil (12.79 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹). Wet soil had (-0.00662 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) CH₄

Linear regression of standard concentrations as described by Lutes *et al.* (2016) was used to calculate CH_4 , CO_2 and N_2O fluxes versus chamber closure time and corrected for soil moisture and temperature using equation 1 below (computerized). whereas dry soil had (-0.01742a mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) (Table 2). Measurements were done during

the dry and rainy months for determine dry and wet soil condition, respectively.

| Table 2: | Effects | of soil | moisture | condition | on CO ₂ , | CH ₄ and | N ₂ O fluxes |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|

| Soil condition | $CO_2 - C (mg.m^{-2}.h^{-1})$ | CH ₄ -C (mg.m ⁻² .h ⁻¹) | N ₂ O- N (μg.m ⁻² .h ⁻¹) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Dry | 12.79ª | -0.01742ª | 0.822ª |
| Wet | 79.39 ^b | -0.00662ª | 18.543 ^b |
| M C 1 C 11 | 11 1 1 1 | | |

Means of column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05) by Fisher's LSD test.

Effects of topographical zones and vegetation cover type on Methane (CH4) fluxes

Topography and vegetation significantly (P<0.05) increased CH4 emissions. Methane fluxes ranged from -0.021 to 0.026 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹ with the lowest (-0.021 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) recorded in bare foot slope. The bare area in all slopes had negative values for all the months (January-April) with April recording more

negative values but not significantly different with March values. Methane (CH4) emissions were low in January and February and it increased in March and April in all the all slops (Table 3). The positive values for methane were recorded in grass cover in all slops. The highest and lowest, amount of methane was observed in Toe slope (0.026 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) and Foot slope (0.003 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹) in the month of April and Februarys respectively, as compared to other zones.

Table 3: Effects of topographical zones and vegetation cover type on Methane (CH4) emissions

| Topographical zones | Vegetation cover | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Foot slope | Bare | -0.006c | -0.020b | -0.009c | -0.021b |
| | Grass | 0.003e | 0.007fg | 0.009fgh | 0.013j |
| | Tree | 0.003e | -0.001d | -0.002d | -0.020b |
| | | | | | |
| Mid slope | Bare | -0.003d | -0.010c | -0.090a | -0.017b |
| | Grass | 0.005f | 0.011hi | 0.011hi | 0.0221 |
| | Tree | 0.001d | 0.003e | 0.005f | 0.007fg |
| | | | | | |
| Toe slope | Bare | -0.001d | -0.08a | -0.070a | -0.013c |
| | Grass | 0.007fg | 0.014j | 0.016k | 0.0261 |
| | Tree | 0.006f | 0.008fg | 0.009fgh | 0.011hi |

Means of column followed by the different letter are significantly different (p <0.05) by Fisher's LSD test.

Effects of topography and vegetation cover on CO₂ emission

Topography and vegetation significantly (P <0.05) increased carbon dioxide emission. The toe slope had the highest soil CO₂ fluxes than the other slopes. Average CO₂ fluxes in all topographical zones ranged from 2.8 to 48 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹ (Table 4). The highest emissions

were recorded for grass vegetation cover as compared to other vegetation cover throughout the seasons. The highest and lowest emissions were observed in mid slope in the month of April (48.56 mg.m⁻²·h⁻¹) and the bare areas of mid slope in February (2.88 mg.m⁻².h⁻¹), respectively. CO₂ emissions were low in January and February and it increased in March and April.

| Topographical zones | Vegetation cover | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR |
|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Mid slope | Bare | 4.41a | 4.63a | 13.79cde | 21.89efg |
| | Grass | 5.77a | 11.97bcd | 24.49efg | 36.70k |
| | Tree | 7.73ab | 13.73cde | 11.38bc | 28.32ghi |
| | | | | | |
| Foot slope | Bare | 5.98ab | 2.88a | 8.21bc | 9.94bc |
| | Grass | 12.08cde | 11.70bc | 68.56m | 69.94mn |
| | Tree | 25.05fgh | 7.64ab | 55.641 | 63.18m |
| | | | | | |
| Toe slope | Bare | 7.27ab | 6.24ab | 16.50def | 25.51fgh |
| | Grass | 8.78bc | 7.34ab | 31.47j | 80.290 |
| | Tree | 9.83bc | 7.87ab | 23.42efg | 24.88efg |

Table 4: Effects of topographical zones and vegetation cover type on carbon dioxide (CO2) mg.m-2.h-1

Means of colomn followed by the different letter are significantly different (p <0.05) by Fisher's LSD test.

Effects of topographical and vegetation cover on Nitrous oxide (N2O)

The results showed that topography and vegetation cover had a positive influence on N_2O fluxes. The mean N_2O emission for toe slope ranged from 0.475 to 43.026 ug.m⁻².h⁻¹, these values were significantly higher than at

the mid slope (-2.63-15.02 $\text{ug.m}^{-2}.\text{h}^{-1}$) and foot slope (-1.31-10.75 $\text{ug.m}^{-2}.\text{h}^{-1}$) (P<0.05) (Table 5). Bare soil had the lowest average fluxes than both vegetation cover types in all topographical zones. The highest emissions Nitrous oxide (N₂O) was observed in March as compared to other months in all the topographical zones and vegetation cover types.

| Table 5. Effects of tenegraphical | zones and vegetation server | turna an Nitmaus avida (N2O) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Table 5: Effects of topographical | zones and vegetation cover | type on Mitrous Oxide (N2O) |

| Topographical zones | Vegetation cover | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR |
|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Foot slope | Bare | -0.578b | -1.311a | -0.378bc | 1.088de |
| | Grass | 1.377fg | 1.117def | 0.673de | 9.224jk |
| | Tree | 0.835de | 1.305def | 0.802de | 10.759jk |
| Mid slope | Bare | -1.053b | -1.321a | -2.630a | -0.712de |
| | Grass | 1.311def | 1.583fg | 5.426j | 15.0161 |
| | Tree | 1.300def | 1.181def | 5.557j | 8.629jk |
| Toe slope | Bare | 0.475d | 1.004de | 0.489d | 6.946j |
| - | Grass | 1.098de | 2.156h | 22.155m | 43.026n |
| | Tree | 3.432hi | 0.032d | 2.596h | 28.477m |

Means followed by the same letter at different months are not significantly different (p <0.001) by Fisher's LSD

Discussion

Soil CO₂ fluxes substantially increases when the soil became wet. Soil temperature and immediately moisture content affect production and intake of CO₂ through their effects on microbial activity and plants, soil availability, aeration. substrate and redistribution. Soil moisture plays a major role for the soil CO₂ fluxes, wetter soils emitted extra CO₂ because of better conditions for microbial respiration (Zhou et al., 2013). Dry soils have both methanogenesis and methanotrophy which increase emissions from the soil to the environment without coming in touch with the oxidizing soil environment, increased methanogenesis will have the higher effect and the net result could be a increased CH₄ emissions. These findings validate that CH₄ emission is switched on and off in incredibly dry soils, as has been formerly suggested by (Angel et al., 2012). With increasing soil moisture in the previously dry soils, populations of methanogenic organisms' increases and methanogenesis are introduced because methanogenesis increases under anaerobic situations (Le Mer and Roger, 2001). But, if soils are wet, the methanogenic activity is decreased (Inubushi et al., 2003). The temporal and spatial variant of CH₄ fluxes in the course of the study duration turned into low and changed into independent of adjustments in soil temperature and moisture. This is consistent with the ones researched by Zhu et al. (2013).

Consequently, moisture results on soil nitrous oxide fluxes are a result of the limitations of O_2 diffusion into the soil and increases soil anaerobiosis, which promotes reductive microbial strategies together with denitrification. Soil water is a critical using factor for N₂O capture as stated by (Christiansen *et al.*, 2012). Soil moisture is a major factor in N₂O emissions because it regulates the oxygen availability to soil microbes. Better N₂O fluxes at high soil

moisture contents have been suggested (Pennock and Corre, 2001) and were associated to growing denitrifying bacteria because of reduced O₂ diffusion into the soil (Yanai et al., 2007). Wet condition promote the soil microbial population and inorganic N for that reason causing increased N₂O emission at some stage in the wet periods. N₂O emissions from soils, in particular, derive from microbial nitrification and denitrification, even when the soil is wet (Katayanagi and Hatano, 2012). In soils, moisture and temperature are the key controllers on nitrous oxide and methane fluxes. Study by Wu et al., (2010) discovered that temporal patterns in nitrous oxide and methane fluxes correspond closely with seasonal adjustments in soil moisture and temperature.

The reduction of vegetation cover increased CH₄ emissions, Sturtevant and Oechel (2013) suggested that CH₄ emissions have been extensively correlated with plant biomass and stem density. The same was reported by Vieira et al. (2012) that methane emissions were affected collectively by vegetation type. This study additionally indicated that vegetation cover, soil characteristics, and climate situations affected CH₄ emissions, which is an illustration that vegetation cover is a vital thing affecting methane emission. Increased CH₄ emissions were associated with improved root biomass production and with warm conditions resulted in to increase decomposition of plant material through aerobic soil conditions as reported by (Yun et al. 2012).

The toe slope, showed increasing CH₄ fluxes than that in the mid-slope and foot slope in all vegetation cover types. The assumption is that topography controls soil, water redistribution, which affects soil aeration and thus soil microbial activities. Yvon-Durocher *et al.* (2014) also found out zones containing soils that aid microbial

activities and the net CH₄ flux at the soil surface. The soil in the toe slope area is saturated, and the hydrologic flow from the foot slope provides a downslope movement of dissolved organic carbon. The low CH₄ fluxes are consistent with observations from different studies, where lots of the CH₄ produced deeper in the soil is oxidized earlier than reaching the subsurface (Vidon et al., 2015). Toe slope and mid slopes of the watershed have slower drainage, developing a soil environment that may be extra conducive to CH₄ production, or may also have a better stability between methanogenic and methanotrophic methods. Soil CH₄ flux results from energetic methanogenic and methanotrophic bacteria relying on the extent of oxygen in soil (Kim, 2015).

Methane fluxes ranged from net uptake to net emission, demonstrating that both methanotrophs (CH₄-oxidizing bacteria) and methanogens (CH₄-producing) were present in the soil microbial ecosystem. CH₄ flux is variable both spatially notably and temporally, especially due to the fact. microbial production and consumption of CH₄ can occur simultaneously within the soil. Typically, CH₄ absorption decreases with an increase in soil moisture because of alterations in gas transport and decreases in aerobic zones in the soil. This is driven via the renewed mineralization and the availability of without problems decomposable organic matter for the metabolism of reactivated microbes (Borken and Matzner, 2009). The Birch impact decreases with better frequencies of wet-dry cycles (Borken and Matzner, 2009). Then again, the absorption of CH₄ using soil became enhanced using rainfall due to CH₄ flux response to increases in soil moisture. CH₄ fluxes shifted from uptake during dryer conditions to slight emissions under wet conditions (Teh et al., 2014).

Topography influences soil CO₂ fluxes by way of influencing the soil moisture condition. Inclined soils are normally properly aerated and properly drained, for this reason, providing conditions favorable for aerobic heterotrophic. Previous research discovered better soil CO_2 fluxes in toe slope positions compared to foot slopes or midslope positions, because of better soil moisture and better carbon and nutrient depositions (Arias-Navarro *et al.* 2017).

Vegetation has impacts on CO₂ emissions correlates with and undoubtedly net ecosystem production. Metcalfe et al., (2007) demonstrated that decrease root density or litter content material corresponds with decreased CO2 fluxes. Increased CO2 concentrations in soils can also be because of better root mass because of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Increase in ground cover also can impact C and nutrient approaches and regulate cycling the ecosystem-environment exchange of CO₂ (Buckeridge et al., 2010). Despite the fact that growth in leaf area with more vegetation cover increases gross ecosystem production and uptake of CO₂ (Shaver et al 2000).

Higher soil CO₂ concentrations were recorded in moist periods of March and April: our results correspond that excessive CO₂ emission throughout wet durations was due to CO_2 displacement in the soil due to increased rainwater. Increase in CO2 flux during the wet season are most probably due to an aggregate of factors occurring simultaneously - will increase in soil temperature and soil moisture that can additionally induce higher carbon (C) availability as reported by (Hubbard et al., 2006). In addition, at some point of the observation period of this study, the large quantity of litter that had gathered all through dry period became intensively the decomposed with the onset of rainfall. Soil water content also can impacts rates of CO₂ by diffusing soluble C substrates in thin water as suggested by Davidson et al. (2006). Addition of water to the soils as precipitation

Chepkemoi et al., / 48

through infiltration can elicit vast increases in total respiratory reflecting greater decomposition of the organic layer and growth in substrate availability. Increased precipitation is likely to decrease rates of O₂ diffusion into the soil (Liptzin et al., 2011) thus decreasing carbon oxidation. Precipitation variability is a famous essential driving force of the seasonal variability of soil CO₂ flux in many environment kinds (Stielstra et al., 2015, Vargas et al., 2012). In rainy season respiration is likely to increase with increased vegetation covers because of the increased insulating ability of the plantstrapped snow developing warmer soil surroundings (Sturm et al 2015).

The bare soil had N₂O accumulated emissions near zero this due to reduced soil moisture content, soil temperature and soil aeration, therefore, affecting the emissions. Soil moisture will increase as a result of stomata starting as a consequence growing emissions situation for N₂O through denitrification force (Ding et al., 2003). Van der Nat and Middelburg (2000) observed that N2O emissions have been affected collectively by vegetation cover percentage. Soil N₂O emissions are increased in the toeslope than in foot slope or mid-slope positions this is because of moisture content between the different positions along the slope commonly explaining well the located variability in N₂O fluxes. The differences in moisture content material among the different topographic positions explaining well the determined variability in N₂O fluxes with the highest soil N2O fluxes at the toe slope intently correlated with the highest soil moisture in these positions (Negassa et al., 2015). Extensive consequences of topographic position on a couple of components of the N cycle were proven by Weintraub et al. (2014), indicating lower N availability and a less open N cycle in toeslopes. Increased N₂O fluxes occur in the soil with a high moisture content that is because

patterns of N₂O flux is controlled via soil moisture variability. Soil moisture affects earthworm casts that produce nitrous oxide. Geng et al (2017) in his study in tropical soils reported that N₂O emissions can be sporadic and brief, for example, after heavy rains and are characterized via short pulses of emissions related to better nitrogen inputs or excessive precipitation occasions. As an example, an increase in soil temperature can directly stimulate nitrifies and denitrifies that produce N₂O, however greater fast soil drying (Bijoor et al. 2008). Temperature increases could also stimulate plant boom and N uptake, thereby decreasing the effect of N being lost as N₂O. However, warming boost N₂O emissions due to increased microbial activity and N deliver via accelerated N mineralization (Dieleman et al. 2012).

Conclusion

Soil moisture plays a major role for the soil GHGs fluxes, wetter soils emitted extra GHGs because of better conditions for microbial respiration. Topography influences soil GHGs fluxes by way of influencing the soil moisture condition. GHGs emissions are increased in the toe-slope than in foot slope or mid-slope positions, this is because of moisture content between the different positions along the slope. From this study additionally indicated that vegetation cover affected GHGs emissions, which is an illustration that vegetation cover is a vital thing affecting GHGs emissions due to improved root biomass production and warm conditions results in increased decomposition of plant material.

Acknowledgement

The study was financed by SLEEK 'System for Land-based Emission Estimation in Kenya'

http://www.sleek.environment.go.ke/

Enumerators are highly acknowledged for their assistance in data Collection and labelling. The authors would like to acknowledge Ilmotiok community ranch who allow their ranch for the experiment. Finally, we acknowledge Kabete soil laboratory technician (University of Nairobi) for their assistance and provision of the required equipment.

References

- Allaire, S. E., Lange, S. F., Lafond, J. A., Pelletier, B., Cambouris, A. N., and Dutilleul, P. 2012. Multiscale spatial variability of CO2 emissions and correlations with physico-chemical soil properties, Geoderma, 170, 251–260,
- Angel, R., Claus, P., Conrad, R. 2012. Methanogenic archaea are globally ubiquitous in aerated soils and become active under wet anoxic conditions. ISME J., 6: 847–862.
- Arias- Navarro, C., Díaz- Pinés, E., Klatt, S., Brandt, P., Rufino, M.C., 460 Butterbach- Bahl, K., and L.V. Verchot. 2017. Spatial variability of 461 soil N2O and CO2 fluxes in different topographic positions in atropical montane forest in Kenya, Journal of Geophysical Research: 463 Biogeosciences 122(3): 514-527.
- Bellarby, J., Tirado, R., Leip, A., Weiss, F., Lesschen, J.P., Smith, P., 2013. Livestock greenhouse gas emissions and mitigation potential in Europe. Glob. Change Biol. 19, 3–18.
- Bijoor NS, Czimczik CI, Pataki DE, Billings SA 2008. Effects of temperature and fertilization on nitrogen cycling and community composition of an urban lawn. Global Change Biology, **14**, 2119–2131.
- Borken W, Matzner E 2009. Reappraisal of drying and wetting effects on C and N mineralization and fluxes in soils. Glob Chang Biol 15:808–824.
- Buckeridge, K. M., Cen, Y. P., Layzell, D. B. and Grogan, P. 2010. Soil biogeochemistry during the early spring in low arctic mesic tundra and the impacts of deepened snow and enhanced nitrogen availability, Biogeochemistry, 99(1), 127–141,
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., E. M. Baggs, M. Dannenmann, R. Kiese, and S. Zechmeister-Boltenstern (2013), Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do

we understand the processes and their controls?, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 368(1621), 20130122.

- Christiansen, J.R., Vesterdal, L., Gundersen, P. 2012. Nitrous oxide and methane exchange in 30 two small temperate forest catchments-effects of hydrological gradients and implications for global warming potentials of forest soils. Biogeochemistry, 107: 437–454.
- Davidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A. 2006. Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. Nature 440, 165–173.
- Dieleman WI, Luyssaert S, Rey A, de Angelis P, Barton CV, Broadmeadow MS, Broadmeadow SB, Chigwerewe KS, Crookshanks M, Dufrene E e. 2010. Soil [N] modulates soil C cycling in CO2-fumigated tree stands: a metaanalysis. Plant, Cell & Environment 33: 2001–2011.
- Ding W, Cai Z, Tsuruta H, Li X2003. Key factors affecting spatial variation of methane emissions from freshwater marshes. Chemosphere. 51:167– 173.
- Geng,S., Chen, Z., Han, S., Wang F. and Zhang,J. 2017. Rainfall reduction amplifies the stimulatory effect of nitrogen addition on N2O emissions from a temperate forest soil. Sci. Rep. 7, 43329.
- Gerber, P.J., Steinfeld, H., Henderson, B., Mottet, A., Opio, C., Dijkman, J., Falcucci, A., Tempio, G., 2013. Tackling Climate Change Through Livestock: A Global Assessment of Emissions and Mitigation Opportunities. FAO, Rome.
- Hickman, Jonathan E., Katherine L. Tully, Peter M. Groffman, Willy Diru, and Cheryl A. Palm. 2015: "A Potential Tipping Point in Tropical Agriculture: Avoiding Rapid Increases in Nitrous Oxide Fluxes from Agricultural Intensification in Kenya." Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 120 (5): 938–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG002913</u>.
- Hubbard, R. M., Ryan, M. G., Elder, K., and Rhoades, C. C. 2005. Seasonal patterns in soil surface CO2 flux under snow cover in 50 and 300 year old subalpine forests, Biogeochemistry, 73, 93–107
- Inubushi, K., Furukawa, Y., Hadi, A., Purnomo, E., Tsuruta, H. 2003. Seasonal changes of CO2, CH4 and N2O fluxes in relation to land-use change in tropical peatlands located in coastal area of South Kalimantan. Chemosphere, 52: 603–608.
- IPCC (Intergovermental Panel on Climate Change), 2013. Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M.,

- K'Otuto, G. O., Otieno, D. O., Seo, B. Ogindo, H. O. and Onyango. J. C. 2013: "Carbon Dioxide Exchange and Biomass Productivity of the Herbaceous Layer of a Managed Tropical Humid Savanna Ecosystem in Western Kenya." Journal of Plant Ecology 6 (4): 286–97. doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rts038,
- Katayanagi N, Hatano R 2012. N₂O emissions during the freezing and thawing periods from six fields in a livestock farm, southern Hokkaido, Japan. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 58(2): 261–271.
- Kim, Y. 2015. Effect of thaw depth on fluxes of CO₂and CH₄in manipulated Arcticcoastal tundra of Barrow. Sci. Total Environ. 505, 385–389.
- Lalampa, P.K. Wasonga, O. V. Rubenstein D.I. and Njoka J.T. 2016. Effects of holistic grazing management on milk production, weight gain, and visitation to grazing areas by livestock and wildlife in Laikipia County, Kenya. Ecological Processes 5:17
- Le Mer, J., Roger, P. (2001). Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: a review. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 37, 25–50.
- Liptzin, D., Silver, W. L., and Detto, M. 2011. Temporal dynamics in soil oxygen and greenhouse gases in two humid tropical forests, Ecosystems, 14, 171–182, doi.org/10.1007/s10021-010- 9402-x,
- Lutes, K., Oelbermann, M., Thevathasan, N. V., and Gordon, A. M. 2016. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on greenhouse gas emissions in two willow clones (*Salix miyabeana* and *S. dasyclados*) in southern Ontario, Canada. Agroforestry Systems, 90, 785-797.
- Merbold, LM and Wohlfahrt, GW. 2012. Greenhouse gas emissions from grasslands: current knowledge and Challenges. Geophysical Research 14, EGU General Assembly 2012.
- Metcalfe, D. B. 2007. Factors controlling spatiotemporal variation in carbon dioxide efflux from surface litter, roots, and soil organic matter at four rain forest sites in the eastern Amazon, J. Geophys. Res., 112, G04001.
- Negassa, W., Price, R. F., Basir, A., Snapp S. S., and Kravchenko A. 2015. Cover crop and tillage systems effect on soil CO2 and N2O fluxes in contrasting topographic positions, Soil and Tillage Research, 154, 64-74.
- Nicolini, G., Castaldi, S., Fratini, G., Valentini, R. 2013. A literature overview of micrometeorological CH4 and N²O flux measurements in terrestrial ecosystems. Atmos. Environ. 81, 311–319.
- Oertel, Cornelius, Jörg Matschullat, Kamal Zurba, Frank Zimmermann, and Stefan Erasmi. 2016: "Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Soils—A

Review." Chemie Der Erde - Geochemistry 76 (3): 327–52.

doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer.2016.04.002.

- Ojwang GO, Agatsiva J, Situma C. 2010. Analysis of climate change and variability risks in the smallholder sector case studies of the Laikipia and Narok Districts representing major agroecological zones in Kenya. Rome: Electric Publishing Policy and Support Branch Community Division, FAO.
- Ondier, Joseph, Daniel O. Okach, Onyango C. John, and Dennis O. Otieno. 2019: "Influence of Rainfall Amount and Livestock Grazing on Soil Respiration in a Moist Kenyan Savanna." African Journal of Ecology 0 (0). Accessed July 26. doi.org/10.1111/aje.12670, 2019.
- Pennock, D. J., and Corre M. D. 2001. Development and application of landform segmentation procedures, Soil Tillage Res., 58(3), 151–162,
- Shaver G.R., Canadell J., Chapin F.S, III, Gurevitch J., Harte J., Henry G., Ineson P., Jonasson S., Melillo J., Pitelka L. & Rustad L. 2000. Global warming and terrestrial ecosystems: a conceptual framework for analysis. BioScience 50, 871-882.
- Stielstra, C.M., Lohse, K.A., Chorover, J., McIntosh, J.C., Barron-Gafford, G.A., Perdrial, J.N., Litvak, M., Barnard, H.R., Brooks, P.D. 2015. Climatic and landscape influences on soil moisture are primary determinants of soil carbon fluxes in seasonally snow covered forest ecosystems. Biogeochemistry 123 (3), 447–465. doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0078-3.
- Sturm, K., Keller-Lehmann, B., Werner, U., Sharma, K. R., Grinham, A. and Yuan, Z. 2015. Sampling considerations and assessment of Exetainer usage for measuring dissolved and gaseous methane and nitrous oxide in aquatic systems. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, doi: 10.1002/lom3.10031.
- Sturtevant, C.S., Oechel, W.C., 2013. Spatial variation in landscape-level CO2andCH4fluxes from arctic coastal tundra: influence from vegetation wetness, andthe thaw lake cycle. Global Change Biol. 19, 2853–2866.
- Teh, Y. A., Diem, T., Jones, S., Huaraca Quispe, L. P., Baggs, E., Morley, N., Richards, M., Smith, P., and Meir, P. 2014. Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes across an elevation gradient in the tropical Peruvian Andes, Biogeosciences, 11, 2325– 2339, doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-2325-2014,
- Valentini, R., A. Arneth, A. Bombelli, S. Castaldi, R. Cazzolla Gatti, F. Chevallier, P. Ciais. 2014: "A Full Greenhouse Gases Budget of Africa: Synthesis, Uncertainties, and Vulnerabilities."

Biogeosciences 11 (2): 381–407. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg.

- Van der Nat F-J, Middelburg J. 2000. Methane emission from tidal freshwater marshes. *Biogeochemistry*. 49(2):103–121.
- Vargas, R., Collins, S.L., Thomey, M.L., Johnson, J.E., Brown, R.F., Natvig, D.O., Friggens, M.T. 2012. Precipitation variability and fire influence the temporal dynamics of soil CO2 efflux in arid grassland. Glob. Change Biol. 18, 1401–1411.
- Vidon, P., Marchese, S., Welsh, M., and McMillan, S. 2015. Short-term spatial and temporal variability in greenhouse gas fluxes in riparian zones, Environ. Monit. Assess., 187, 503, doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4717-x,.
- Vieira F.C.B., Pereira A.B., Bayer C., Schu⁻nemann A.L., Victoria F.C., Albuquerque M.P. Oliveira C.S. 2012. In situ methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in soil from a transect in Hennequin Point, King George Island, Antarctic. Chemosphere 90, 497-504.
- Weintraub, S.R., Taylor, P.G., Porder, S., Cleveland, C.C., Asner, G.P., Townsend, A.R. 2014. Topographic controls on soil nitrogen availability in a lowland tropical forest. Ecology 96, 1561–1574.
- Wright, I.A., Tarawali, S., Blummel, M., Gerard, B., Teufel, N., Herrero, M. 2012. Integrating crops and livestock in subtropical agricultural systems. J. Sci. Food Agric. 92, 1010–1015.
- Yanai, Y., K. Toyota, and M. Okazaki 2007. Effects of charcoal addition on N2O emissions from soil resulting from rewetting air-dried soil in shortterm laboratory experiments, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 53, 181–188, doi:10.1111/j.1747-0765.2007.00123.x.
- Yun SI, Kang BM, Lim SS, *et al.* 2012. Further understanding CH_4 emissions from a flooded rice field exposed to experimental warming with elevated [CO₂]. *Agr Forest Meteorol* 54–55: 75-83.
- Yvon-Durocher G, Allen AP, Bastviken D. 2014 Methane fluxes show consistent temperature dependence across microbial to ecosystem scales. *Nature*, **507**, 488–91.
- Zhang, D. B. 2017.Responses of Winter Wheat Production to Green Manure and Nitrogen Fertilizer on the Loess Plateau. Agron. J. 107, 1– 14, doi: 10.2134/agronj14.0432
- Zhou, J., He, D., Xie, Y. Liu, Y., Yang, Y., Sheng,H., GUO, H., Zhao, L., and Zou, R. 2013. Integrated SWAT model and statistical downscaling for estimating streamflow response to climate change in the Lake Dianchi watershed, China. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk

Assessment 29, 1193–1210. 10.1007/s00477-015-1037-1.