

Theory of a Superluminal Vacuum Quanta as the Fabric of Space

R. Iyer^{a,*} and E. Markoulakis^b

^a*Environmental Materials Theoretical Physicist, Department of Physical Mathematics Sciences Engineering Project Technologies Engineering International Operational Teknet Earth Global, Tempe, Arizona, United States of America,*

^b*Department of Electronic Engineering, Hellenic Mediterranean University, Romanou 3, Chania 73133, Crete, Greece.*

Abstract. Our observations of magneton with Ferrolens shows evidence pointing to such magneton entity, and more evidently in recent results with the synthetic vacuum unipole experiments. Physics formalism ansatz novel model analyses demonstrate how vacuum quanta may have sufficient energy for vacuum genesis, by constructing eigenspinors of zero_point microblackhole Hamiltonian quantum mechanics with Helmholtz decomposition matrix of gradient and rotational tensors, that are characteristic of translational vortex fields. With these mathematical physics processes, we obtain resulting energy fields spatial property partial differential equations characterizing eigenstate energetics of zero_point vacuum quagmire, as well as eigenstate vortex fields of microblackhole, both together making up plasmodial zones within quagmire. Specific eigenspinors Hamiltonian partial differential equations quantifying energy and fields eigenfunctions. Vacuum that is dipole vacuum may have superposition of complex input of quagmire vortex fields acting to create non-Hermitian quantum relativistic physics.

Received: 26 November 2020, Revised: 10 December 2020, Accepted: 08 January 2021.

Keywords: Quantum cosmology, Vacuum space quanta, Hamiltonian operator, Eigenfunctions, magneton, Observables, General formalism, Hamiltonian analysis, Vortex vacuum eigenspinors, Gradient zero_point source rotational microblackhole sink tensors.

Index to information contained in this paper

1. Introduction
2. Experimental interpretations
3. Theoretical results observables formalisms
4. Generalized formalism Hamiltonian Helmholtz eigenfields
5. Theoretical results observable discussions
6. Summary

1. Introduction

Energetics of a vacuum space quanta that maybe unit of fabric of vacuum space had been quite perplexing physicists over many centuries, from classical to relativistic to quantum mechanics [1,2]. Classical physics with Galilean Newtonian equations of motion and Maxwell's electrovacuum equations [3-5] started to analyze quantitatively nature of gravity, space, and time, although it was elusive to explain wholesomely universal phenomena from micro to macro universe [6,7]. Einstein's relativistic theories proposed a way of bringing together the concept of space and time, topologically, to explain gravity to unify all four forces of nature known to humans: electromagnetism, strong and the weak nuclear forces, and the gravity [8-11]. Fundamentally, pure, and applied mathematics had been all the while playing a major role in quantifying physics through enabling parametric measurements of observations [12]. To explain the microcosm of universe, quantum mechanics with Schrodinger's wavefunction Hamiltonian equations came to explain the duality of the wave and particle aspects, with de Broglie's assertion of simultaneity of energy and matter presence [13]. Standard Model had put together spectra of quantum particles, with Higgs Boson identifying observable as the mass giver for particles, that will evolve onto real matter into existence [14]. Quantum density matrix had formed the flavor

of special unitary symmetries constituting typical gage invariance [15], with many grand unified theories establishing necessity of monopoles existing to account for energy densities of universal systems. However, the question of origin of energy and of quantum vacuum had been eluding physicists' total quantifiability. Parametric analysis with experimental observations had posed a measurement problem. In his original introduction of mathematically provable quantization of monopole-charge aspects, noting knowhow physics, Dirac argued quantitatively vacuum quantum necessitating existence of monopoles based on fundamentals of quantum mechanics [16]. To understand about vacuum space quantum vortex systems, generalized and/or modified Maxwell equations to include monopoles had been advanced mathematically analytically [17]. A detailed knowledge with mechanics of quantifying vortex systems to monopoles [18] to more specific Lagrangian and Hamiltonian derivation mathematically of vortex systems suitable to analyze vacuum quagmire with monopole & the dipole had already been modelled [2, 19-21]. These studies show that monopoles' physics had mechanics like the fluid system's hydrodynamical vortex systems Searches for monopoles, with scientists all over the world, consisted of two categories experimentally typically: (1) detecting preexisting monopoles, (2) creating and detecting then new monopoles [24-33]. Mathematical techniques explored here to solve eigenvalue problem might set a precedence to abstract wholistic observational physics with mathematical preciseness, applicable further to provable generalized quantum relativistic grand formalism.

In this paper, Helmholtz decomposition fields are only considered to come up with general formalism, especially since research experimentation measurements with Ferrolens observational results have shown that magnetism is exclusively a dipole phenomenon, which in contrast to eclecticism, hence cannot be monopole. If an isolated natural occurring magnetic monopole charge is found, it would not create a gauge field - force field spatially around it radiated in space, all energy flows inward with none radiated outside, whereas a monopole electric charge which is a physically spinning magnetic dipole charge, radiates energy to the outside and therefore can create a field [34,35]

Standard techniques established by vast amount of quantum physics literature on constructing, analyzing, interpreting, quantifying as well as problem solving eigenvalues, eigenmatrices, eigenvectors, and fields of Hermitian and/or non-Hermitian Hamiltonian operator [36-43] generating characteristic energy and field spectrum were widely utilized here to configure quantum characterization of matrix systems. Within all theoretical endeavors, matrix rules and quantifiable formulations follow integrated procedures configured from the research of physics literature articles, samples of which appear in the reference list, with [44-48] in this paper. Zero-point analysis of quantum vacuum would refer to energy at the vacuum quanta zero- point fluctuation energy [49, 68]. Micro-blackholes considered here in analysis would essentially be quantum blackholes [51, 52]. General theoretical modeling is extendable to ansatz modeling superluminal multiphase systems that will grand unify fields, while explaining how such high energies originate in primordial universe.

1.1 Explanations of magneton, quantum magnet, and type of fields they exhibit [53-56, 58, 59]

The term magneton [53] refers to the elementary magnetic dipole quanta of any magnetic dipole charge either if it is an electron or quark or any particle that has a 1/2 spin, i.e., fermions [60]. The difference of the Bohr magneton with the term we are using "magneton" is that the Bohr magneton refers to the bound electrons of an atom whereas the term magneton refers in general to the "Quantum Magnet" and magnetic moment of any particle either it is free or bound in the atomic structure [53-56]. In any event, the magneton [53] is a monopole charge. With that said, our observations with the ferrolens of the

Quantum Magnet field [53] reveals that it consists of two opposite magnetic monopole charges thus two joint counter rotating magnetic flux monopole vortices jointed together to form a magnetic dipole or else a magnetic flux dipole vortex [53-56, 61]. This is now exactly to what our term "magneton"[53] refers to, according to our research [53-56]. However, any vortex field is essentially a monopole field [58], therefore our observation and previous research reveals that the nature of the Quantum Magnet is essentially Gilbertian in nature and not Amperian [59]. Thus, it effectively consists of two joint monopoles of opposite magnetic charges, the only form in our spacetime magnetic monopole charges can exist, i.e., jointly in a dipole formation [53-56].

Now, one of the central emphases with theory of the paper will be based on the conjecture that in our space-time these dipole magnetons [53] can exist only as dipoles but in the superluminous energy phase of vacuum space, per Figure 1(a), the magnetons [53] exist only as monopole energy vortices thus monopole magnetons [53], vibrating at superluminous speed and are like the pixels and elementary quanta of discrete vacuum space occupying each point of it.




Figure 1. (a) Schematic showing superluminous phase of vacuum space, monopole magnetons [53-56] essentially “empty space”, manifesting subsequent phase transitions. (b) Schematic sketching of phase transitioned luminous and subluminal phase of vacuum space, Einsteinian spacetime formation of dipole magnetons [53-56].

Consequently, in the fabric of our spacetime, for whatever reason these monopole magnetons. [53] lose their superluminous kinetic energy and drop down their energy level to luminous or subluminal, brought out in Figure 1(b), they change phase and fall into our spacetime and instantly join into elementary magnetic dipoles, thereby forming the basis of fermionic matter in our universe. In the superluminous phase of vacuum space, this is totally undetectable by us and perceived by us as "empty space" in our spacetime.

2. Experimental interpretations

Our recent observations of magneton with Ferrolens quoted literature [53, 56] briefly show within the Figures 2 and 3 real-time observations of quantum field magnetism (QFM) of vortex dipoles, as well as physical mechanism explaining classical macroscopic electric E-field of a macro vacuum quantum dipole field, having vortex causality effects, that are observed with the Ferrolens [53]. Hedgehog field lines displayed by the Ferrolens, diverging out of the unipolar vacuum ring array's vortex field shows effect of synthetic monopole quasiparticle effect, like a ferrovacuum Dirac quantum vacuum monopole trap, referring to (Figure 11 [56]). Also, screw-like unipole vortex formations, and non-classical vortex quantas, that appear as Quantum Magnet Field (QMF) (Figures 4 & 10 [56]) are indicative of special features of monopole-like unipole synthetic vacuum array. Apart from our recent results, highlighted above suggesting monopoles spontaneously transform to dipoles, further observation with the vacuum 3D fields visualizations [53-56] have proved that magnetons [53] form the fundamental fields and that they are constituted by unipoles, quite likely building blocks of everything universally.




Figure 2. (a) A logarithmic spiral. (b) QFM vortex dipole field magnetism. (c) left arm of spiral segment of the QFM. (d) Right arm spiral segment, these measurements comparatively show real-time observations originally per Figure 6 [53], measurements with the Ferrolens [53].




Figure 3. Physical mechanism for explaining classical macroscopic E-field of macro vacuum quantum field dipole magnetism with vortex casualty effect originally Figure 6 [53].

Simple reasons that justify formalism, applying Helmholtz decomposition fields analysis of vortex dynamics:

- (i) Magneton [53] experimental observations measurements show that the vortex fields are main characteristics. Helmholtz decomposition fields have already in-built rotational (vortex) fields and the gradient fields [57,62-69], which provide driving force to vortex fields, metrics, that can be expressed as a 2×2 matrix.
- (ii) It is possible to transform from Helmholtz metrics, using Coulomb gage that will link to Coulomb branch gage group with Hilbert series having Supersymmetry (SUSY) Quantum Field Theory (QFT) charge conjugation [57,70]. We can then link charge conjugation to rotating charges per Dirac Maxwell Einstein Kerr Newmann metrics [71,72].

Hence, in this formalism, we adopt Helmholtz decomposition fields tensor matrix approach, due to above mentioned reasons.

3. Theoretical results observables formalisms

We are attempting to establish theoretical basis to mathematically analyze these systems, with a key goal of identifying observables that are provable verifiably with experimental techniques, such as the quantum vacuum-optic flux viewer Ferrolens to probe inside the ferrovacuum materials, as well as Bose-Einstein condensate that can detect polar-core spin

vortices especially of Dirac monopole. Standard techniques established by vast amount of quantum physics literature on constructing, analyzing, interpreting, quantifying as well as problem solving eigenvalues, eigenmatrices, eigenvectors, and fields of Hermitian and/or non- Hermitian Hamiltonian operator [36-45] generating characteristic energy and field spectrum are widely utilized to configure characterization of quantum vortex systems considered here. In all theoretical endeavors, matrix rules and quantifiable formulations follow integrated procedures that are adapted from the research of physics literature articles, samples of which appear in the reference list, with [44-48, 62, 64, 67] in this paper.

4. Generalized formalism Hamiltonian Helmholtz eigenfields

Helmholtz mathematical physics theorem [62] describes how a vector field can be expressed as a gradient scalar potential and a curl of vector field potential. We will expect the curl of the field being zero at the zero point [63], where authors have argued that for each stationary classical background field there is a ground state of the associated quantized field; this is the vacuum for that background. Helmholtz theorem has generality that can be used to connect quantum with relativistic physics [64]. On the other hand, gradient of field zero at a black-hole with a nonzero curl [65] causes highly energetic compaction within increasing internal pressure asymptotically; here authors have brought out the effect of rotation on simulations of black hole events at the LHC showing that the angular momentum of the black hole cannot be ignored, and it makes a non-trivial contribution for most of the lifetime of the black hole. A key consequence of the rotation of the black hole is that the Hawking radiation is no longer isotropic, making it more difficult to infer space-time parameters from measurements of the emitted particles [65]. In this letter, they had studied the angular distribution of the Hawking emission of non-zero spin particles with specific helicity on the brane, arguing that the shape of the distribution could be used as a measure of the angular momentum of the black hole [65].

The Helmholtz theorem vector decomposition of field [66] equates, $F = -\nabla\Phi + \nabla\times A$ where F is a vector field defined on a bounded domain $V \subseteq R^3$, which is twice continuously differentiable, having surface S enclosing domain V . F then thus can be decomposed into a curl- free component and a divergence-free component, such that any sufficiently smooth, rapidly decaying vector field in three dimensions can be resolved into the sum of an irrotational (curl- free) vector field and a solenoidal (divergence-free) vector field. This is known as the Helmholtz decomposition [66], with $\Phi =$ scalar potential; $A =$ vector field potential; thereby, $F = -\text{grad } \Phi + \text{curl } A$.

Upper and the lower indices, such as $E^{\mu\nu}$ & $E_{\mu\nu}$, considered here in our detailed analysis of the general field tensors, follow normal tensor calculus mathematical notations [67]. The zero- point vector field above brings out interesting situation of $\text{curl}A \rightarrow 0$, which is justifiable since rotational component will not exist at zero-point, although $\text{grad } \Phi \neq 0$; conversely, at a micro- black-hole, $F \rightarrow 0$, where the field may be collapsed electrovacuum light - electric + vacuum - vector field, and then $\nabla\times A = \nabla\Phi$ - perhaps manifesting as Hawking radiation out of zero-point within a micro-black-hole. This is a conjecture borne out of Poincare's Theorem stating that if $\nabla_x F = 0$ (i.e., $F(x)$ is an irrotational field) in a simply connected neighborhood $U(x)$ of a point x , then in this neighborhood, F is the gradient of a scalar field $\varphi(x)$ [68]. Rotational component is associated through Helmholtz decomposition to pressure, especially in fluid flow [69].

[37, 69-86] highlights references related to detailed Helmholtz decomposition of the gradient and the rotational fields, considered extensively here to come up with general formalism Hamiltonian operator analysis quantum relativity. Also, mathematical matrix operational manipulations with outer and inner product space applied mathematical

physics principles are listed also with [65-86] containing Dirac matrix quantum notations. Authors have adapted many techniques applied to quantum physical analysis within the framework of the quantum field theory, as well as Hermitian and non-Hermitian Hamiltonian operator quantum relativistic physics with quaternions, encountered often in these systems. Already, the reasons for applying Helmholtz decomposition fields analysis of vortex dynamics have been mentioned above, consistent with physics literature [57, 62-69, 70, 71, 72]. Mathematically, matrix quantum techniques with outer product of Helmholtz decomposition density field matrix are utilized to come up with eigenvector fields Hamiltonian characteristics [37-40, 65-86] below.

4.1 Outer Product Helmholtz Decomposition Density Field Matrix Eigenvector Operators Constructs

$$|E_r, E_g \gg_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu}| = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{r,\mu\nu} & \hat{E}_g^{\mu\nu} \\ \hat{E}_{g,\mu\nu} & \hat{E}_r^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_r \\ \hat{E}_g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu\nu & \mu\nu \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

with E_r : Helmholtz decomposition rotational field; E_g : Helmholtz decomposition gradient field; $_{\mu\nu}$, $^{\mu\nu}$ are lower and the upper referential frame tensor calculus notations [67]; $|E_r, E_g \gg_{\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu}|$ gives the outer product of both of the fields with the indices to

generate 2×2 Dirac matrix forms $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{r,\mu\nu} & \hat{E}_g^{\mu\nu} \\ \hat{E}_{g,\mu\nu} & \hat{E}_r^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}$ and the vector forms $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_r \\ \hat{E}_g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu\nu & \mu\nu \end{pmatrix}$. Note

that tensor notations fields are true for rotational and the gradient fields, permuted to the index values to have representation of both senses with rotations as well as the gradients, thereby, upward, downward, outward, & the inward field tensors are fully generalized by this format. All the matrix manipulations to achieve quantum derivations have normal techniques employed conform to those given in physics literature [65-86], as also mentioned above.

To get eigenvalues of characteristic field matrix above, we equate $|A - \lambda I| = 0$; hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_{r,\mu\nu} - \lambda & E_g^{\mu\nu} \\ E_{g,\mu\nu} & E_r^{\mu\nu} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and solving quadratic equation in λ i.e., $(E_{r,\mu\nu} - \lambda)(E_r^{\mu\nu} - \lambda) - E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} = 0$ having $\lambda^2 - (E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu})\lambda + (E_{r,\mu\nu} E_r^{\mu\nu} - E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu}) = 0$ eigenvalues will have characteristic eigenvalue solutions – magnitude – general:

$$\lambda = (1/2) \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) \pm \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 - 4(E_{r,\mu\nu} E_r^{\mu\nu} - E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu}) \right]^{1/2} \right\}$$

$$\therefore \lambda = (1/2) \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) \pm \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{i.e., } \lambda_1 = (1/2) \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) + \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda = (1/2) \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) \pm \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 - 4(E_{r,\mu\nu} E_r^{\mu\nu} - E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu}) \right]^{1/2} \right\} \tag{4}$$

The diagonal elements of eigenmatrix, for λ_1 is equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2E_{r,\mu\nu} - \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) + \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ & = \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu}) - \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ \parallel \text{ly, } & 2E_r^{\mu\nu} - \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) + \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ & = \left\{ (-E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) - \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, eigenmatrix eigenvector $|\Psi_{i,j}\rangle$ with eigenvalue λ_1 :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu}) - \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{0.5} \right\} & E_g^{\mu\nu} \\ E_{g,\mu\nu} & 0.5 \left\{ (-E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) - \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{0.5} \right\} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{1,1} \\ \Psi_{1,2} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{5}$$

Solving these equations and normalizing with respect to Φ values two eigenvectors with eigenvalue of λ_1 form – general:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_g^{\mu\nu} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \left\{ (E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu}) - \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{0.5} \right\} / E_g^{\mu\nu} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & E_{g,\mu\nu} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.5 \left\{ (-E_{r,\mu\nu} + E_r^{\mu\nu}) - \left[(E_{r,\mu\nu} - E_r^{\mu\nu})^2 + 4E_{g,\mu\nu} E_g^{\mu\nu} \right]^{0.5} \right\} / E_{g,\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

5. Theoretical results observable discussions

We noted above, nature of general solutions giving eigenfunctions to be revealing vacuum fields energy possibly possessing higher order 1026 metric units at quantum zero point; these situations are analogous to situations that may be encountered at inflationary vacuum zero point, whose characteristic energies are well known in physics literature [65-86]. Based on all these, we would be able to infer that Equation (46) would be capable of giving insight through micro-blackhole general solutions’ eigenvalue eigenfunctions like “fields” equations. These are too analogous to the relativistic physics with macro blackholes; hence

these are further extensions to micro-blackholes that have been actively considered subjects within physics literature [65-86]. We can analytically quantitatively interpret with partial differential equations systems (43) and (46) these physics aspects. Especially evident while noticing, that time aspect ($t_f - t_i$) can be quite analogous to real and proper time, with field up and down differentially spatially varying with relativistic systems. Also, notable are special requirements with rotational fields, ε_r , both up and down indices stipulating positive values due to logarithmic functionality, i.e., $\ln|\varepsilon_r^{\mu\nu}|$ and the apparent symmetry with 2nd order, 1st order, & 0th order differential terms, per differential equations (32), (43) and (46).

Special Relativity (SR) and General Relativity (GR) although prohibit the propagation of energy and information inside vacuum space with a group velocity larger than the light speed c limit, it does not impose any restrictions on the speed by which space itself can move, expand, or deform locally. Subsequently, we can deduce that its discrete quanta units uniformly consisting all vacuum space in our Universe are not imposed by such a light speed limitation and therefore a hypothetically super-luminous phase of the vacuum space quanta is possible [77*]. There are many analytical interpretations possible that are surprisingly evident, borne out of development of mathematical general solutions here, a non-assumptive pure theory primarily based on physics model of vacuum space quantum field, extendable eventually to analysis of a superluminal multiphase system that we are proposing to grand unify everything. Superluminal multiphase system models will explain all known phenomena of dark energy, dark matter, real matter, real energy with all the four fundamental forces, thus accounting totally for the enormous almost infinite energies seemingly possessed by the natural universe. Spin-offs of the superluminal multiphase systems theoretical modeling, with eventual experimental verifications, we hope may solve the problem of antimatter matter paradox of neutrino physics. Further, symmetrical aspects that we can notice with nonlinear quaternion differential equations (32), (42) and (46) above manifest vortex hydrodynamic-like vacuum quanta. Our observational measurements of magneton [53] bears verification of these theoretical observables. Investigations by scientists spanning many international laboratories have brought out critical observations with measurements experimentally with spin ice a few salient features of monopolar possibilities, a few of which have been highlighted earlier.

5.1 Energy Fields Partial Differential Equations

Equations (32), (43) and (46) represent fields differential equations with zero-point vacuum energy and the microblackhole field mathematical physics. One of the ways that physics can be characterized to vacuum space quanta solutions will be to input the functional form of the energy and that of field forces.

We will examine specifically vacuum fields that may follow inverse square law as well as an inverse cubic law in our subsequent paper. Typically, available physics literature shows vacuum monopoles may exhibit inverse square relation with distance, however, dipoles tend to show also an inverse cubic relation with distance. We can apply these criteria to differential equations that are developed here, i.e., Equations (32), (43) and (46) to analyze function field energy, identifying thus whether monopoles have high energy densities to initiate generation of particles within vacuum quanta; if so, then how signal output may generate in what sequences these phenomena. Performing analyses sequentially will be critical to come up with superluminal aspects, that we are currently working collaboratively with several scientists, to obtain proof of a concept hinting high energy creations, within vacuum quanta to sustain almost infinite extent universally of matter systems, manifesting geodesics as well as non-geodesics. We hope to embark on the problem-solving mathematical physics formalisms within the framework of generalized

Hamiltonian operator analysis presented here, extending vacuum quanta analysis to superluminal multiphases systems modeling capable of explaining paradoxical nature with matter, antimatter, dark matter, dark energy, and the creation of stable geodesics within galactical star systems sustaining live cosmos.

6. Summary

Experiments, as well as measurements of real-time observations of magneton by Ferrolens, reported earlier, was pointing to a fundamental vacuum quanta mechanism. The term magneton refers to elementary magnetic dipole quanta of fermions and having two joint counter rotating magnetic flux monopole vortices, forming magnetic dipole, and thus a quantum magnet. This is essentially Gilbertian having opposite magnetic charges. We propose space-time these exist only as dipoles within superluminous energy phase of “empty” vacuum space, which can phase transition to luminous or subluminal spacetime, shown by schematics.

We applied Helmholtz decomposition fields with magneton experimental observations measurements showing the vortex field, reasoning that it is possible to convert from Helmholtz metrics onto Dirac-Einstein-Maxwell-Kerr-Newton metrics, by applying Coulomb gage.

Ansatz generalized Hamiltonian quantum mechanics of vacuum quanta formalism was advanced here, constructing outer product Helmholtz decomposition field density matrix eigenvector operators’ Hamiltonian characteristics.

Helmholtz decomposition matrix consisted of both gradient up and down field tensors as well as the rotational up and down field tensors, that were reasoned to fit well mathematically to analyze zero-point and microblackhole in vacuum quanta.

Eigenvalues as well as eigenvectors of characteristic general field matrix and specifically of zero-point and microblackhole eigenspinors’ vector fields were derived analytically quantitatively. Eigenmatrix eigenvector $|\Psi_{i,j}\rangle$ s with eigenvalue λ s were quantified completely mathematically. These quantifications successfully eventually generated systems partial differential equations, characterizing zero-point and microblackhole vortex and gradient energy fields of vacuum quanta. Such quantification physics techniques are quite useful in knowing as well as analyzing superluminous fabric of space aspects of vacuum quanta. This formalism has already generality to model further magneto-electro vacuum quanta space, that we are verifying in our ongoing investigations.

We hope having next set of papers on problem solving mathematical physics formalisms within well the framework of generalized Hamiltonian operator analysis, achieved here as a new ansatz, extending vacuum quanta analysis to superluminal multiphases systems modeling. This will be then capable of explaining paradoxical nature with physics, sorting quantitatively matter, antimatter, dark matter, dark energy, and the creation of stable geodesics within galactical star systems sustaining live cosmos.

References

- [1] A. O. Ageev, A. E. Belyaev, N. S. Boltovets, V. N. Ivanov, R. V. Konakova, Ya. Ya. Kudrik, P. M. Litvin, V. V. Milenin and A. V. Sachenko, Au-TiB_x-n-6H-SiC Schottky barrier diodes: Specific features of charge transport in rectifying and nonrectifying contacts, *Semiconductors*, **43** (7) (2009) 865–871.

